1	
2	
3 1	
- 5	
6	
7	
8	Targeted high throughput mutagenesis of the human spliceosome
9	reveals its <i>in vivo</i> operating principles
10	
11 12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	Irene Beusch <sup>1</sup> , Beiduo Rao <sup>1</sup> , Michael Studer <sup>2</sup> , Tetiana Luhovska <sup>2</sup> , Viktorija Šukytė <sup>2</sup> , Susan Lei <sup>1</sup> ,
18 10	Juan Oses-Prieto <sup>3</sup> , Em SeGraves <sup>1</sup> , Alma Burlingame <sup>3</sup> , Stefanie Jonas <sup>2</sup> , Hiten D. Madhani <sup>1,4</sup>
20	
~ /	
21	
22	
00	
23	
24	
25 26	<sup>1</sup> Dept. of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco,
20 27	United States of America
28	<sup>2</sup> Institute of Molecular Biology and Biophysics, Department of Biology, ETH Zurich, Zurich,
29 30	Switzerland
31	<sup>3</sup> Dept. of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco,
32	United States of America
33 34	<sup>4</sup> hitenmadhani@gmail.com
35	

#### 36 SUMMARY

37

38 The spliceosome is a staggeringly complex machine comprising, in humans, 5 snRNAs and 39 >150 proteins. We scaled haploid CRISPR-Cas9 base editing to target the entire human 40 spliceosome and interrogated the mutants using the U2 snRNP/SF3b inhibitor, pladienolide B. 41 Hypersensitive substitutions define functional sites in the U1/U2-containing A-complex but also 42 in components that act as late as the second chemical step after SF3b is dissociated. Viable 43 resistance substitutions map not only to the pladienolide B binding site but also to the G-patch 44 (ATPase activator) domain of SUGP1, which lacks orthologs in yeast. We used these mutants 45 and biochemical approaches to identify the spliceosomal disassemblase DHX15/hPrp43 as the 46 ATPase ligand for SUGP1. These and other data support a model in which SUGP1 promotes 47 splicing fidelity by triggering early spliceosome disassembly in response to kinetic blocks. Our 48 approach provides a template for the analysis of essential cellular machines in humans.

## 50 INTRODUCTION

51 Pre-mRNA splicing is an essential step in eukaryotic gene expression. In addition to 52 driving proteome diversity via alternative splicing (Blencowe, 2017), splicing impacts RNA 53 stability, for example through the inclusion of exons with premature termination codons subject 54 to nonsense-mediated decay (NMD), and plays critical roles in RNA export and translation 55 efficiency (Le Hir et al., 2016). Splicing is also a major player in human disease: a large fraction 56 of single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with human disease impact splicing (Li et al., 57 2016), and many human cancers harbor driver mutations in components of the spliceosome 58 itself (Bejar, 2016; Yoshimi et al., 2019)

59 There are four intron sequences important for splicing: the 5' splice site (SS), the 60 branchpoint (BP), the polypyrimidine tract (PPT), and 3' splice site (Fig. 1). In humans, there is 61 large variability in these sequences, which can have an enormous impact on splicing efficiency 62 and regulation, enabling regulation by RNA binding proteins (RBPs). Pre-mRNA splicing 63 proceeds via two transesterification reactions which are catalyzed by the spliceosome. 64 Compared to the simplicity of the chemical steps, the spliceosome is staggeringly complex 65 (Figure 1 A,B). Components include five small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs – U1, U2, 66 U4/U6, and U5) and numerous proteins that assemble onto the intron substrate and undergo 67 several large rearrangements to form a catalytically active complex in which a U6 snRNA acts 68 as an RNA catalyst (Wilkinson et al., 2020). In S. cerevisiae, from which much of our 69 understanding has been developed, splicing of a single intron requires eight ATP-dependent 70 steps and about 90 proteins. Human spliceosomes appear to contain about 60 additional 71 proteins (Wahl et al., 2009a).

Initial intron recognition involves base-pairing between the 5' end of U1 snRNA and the 5' SS and recognition of the branchpoint, PPT, and 3' SS by sequence-specific RNA binding proteins: Splicing Factor 1 (SF1/MsI5) recognizes the branchpoint sequence while the two subunits of U2AF recognize the PPT and the conserved AG dinucleotide at the 3' splice site. This forms an early, or E, complex that is the precursor to the A complex in which U2 snRNP binds to the intron, base-pairing with sequences around the branchpoint (the branchpoint sequence), replacing SF1 and U2AF. A triple snRNP, containing base-paired U4/U6 snRNAs

79 together with the U5 snRNA, then joins the complex to form the pre-B complex which converts 80 to the B complex by the departure of U1. Activation of the spliceosome occurs via ATP-81 dependent rearrangements that expels the U4 snRNP and several proteins (Wahl et al., 82 2009b), allowing the PRPF19/Prp19 complex (NTC) and NTC-related proteins (NTR) to join. 83 This produces the B<sup>act</sup> complex, in which U6 base-pairs with the 5' splice site and U2 and U6 84 snRNAs base-pair to form the spliceosomal active site (Wilkinson et al., 2020). A component of 85 U2 snRNP, the SF3 complex, which sequesters the U2-branchpoint helix away from the 5' 86 splice site, is then removed, allowing the U2-branchpoint helix to dock with the catalytic core. 87 Association of additional proteins allow the chemical steps to proceed in the B\* and C\* catalytic 88 complexes (Wilkinson et al., 2020). The ATPases DHX38/Prp16 and DHX8/Prp22 respectively 89 remodel the active site after each chemical step (Wahl et al., 2009b). Following mRNA release, 90 the helicase DHX15/Prp43 disassembles the spliceosome (Martin et al., 2002; Tsai et al., 91 2005). Like other DEAH-box helicases, DHX15/Prp43 is activated by a cognate G-patch 92 protein, TFIP11/Spp382/Ntr1 (Tanaka et al., 2007).

93 Given the high variability in splicing signal sequences in humans, how the spliceosome 94 distinguishes between cognate and noncognate sequences remains to be understood. A 95 longstanding hypothesis suggests that the dynamic and complex nature of the spliceosome 96 promotes the fidelity of splicing through kinetic proofreading while also permitting substrate 97 flexibility and regulation. Evidence supporting this model came from a genetic screen in S. 98 cerevisiae in which missense mutations in the ATPase Prp16 were identified as suppressors of 99 a mutation in the branchpoint adenosine sequence (Burgess and Guthrie, 1993). Subsequent 100 in vitro studies demonstrated that mutant pre-mRNA substrates that assemble into 101 spliceosomes, but are kinetically slow at either chemical step, trigger spliceosome disassembly 102 prior to completion of the reaction, a process termed "discard" (Koodathingal et al., 2010; 103 Koodathingal and Staley, 2013; Mayas et al., 2010; Mayas et al., 2006; Semlow and Staley, 104 2012). Failure to perform catalysis prior to ATP hydrolysis by Prp16 (step 1) or Prp22 (step 2) 105 produces a spliceosome that can be disassembled by Prp43. These ATPases have been 106 proposed to act as molecular timers for productive movement through the splicing pathway 107 (Koodathingal and Staley, 2013). The yeast studies used mutant pre-mRNA substrates

because their signals are always very close to the optimal consensus (Irimia and Roy, 2008).
Whether there are analogous or additional fidelity mechanisms that operate in animal cells is
unknown.

111 The ability to perform forward genetic screens in haploid S. cerevisiae was critical for 112 the studies on spliceosome fidelity outlined above as well as numerous other foundational 113 studies of splicing. To adapt these methods to human cells, we describe here a strategy to 114 mutagenize the spliceosome in fully haploid human cells by developing and deploying a 115 CRISPR-Cas9 base editor sqRNA library that targets the entire human spliceosome. After 116 mutagenesis, we interrogated the spliceosome using the potent inhibitor pladienolide B (PB), 117 which targets U2 snRNP by binding to a pocket between the SF3B1 and PHF5A subunits of the 118 SF3b complex, preventing stabilization of the U2-branchpoint RNA duplex (Cretu et al., 2018b; 119 Cretu et al., 2021; Gamboa Lopez et al., 2021; Teng et al., 2017b; Wu et al., 2018). Validation 120 and genomic sequencing revealed resistance mutations in SF3B1 and PHF5A in residues 121 adjacent to the compound binding pocket. We mapped hypersensitive mutants to U2 snRNP 122 components, but also to factors that act as late as the second chemical step, after SF3b has 123 dissociated. Strikingly, we obtained resistance mutants in SUGP1, a spliceosomal G-patch 124 protein of unknown function that lacks orthologs in yeast and is also a newly proposed tumor 125 suppressor whose loss underpins the splicing changes induced by cancer-associated SF3B1 126 mutations (Alsafadi et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2019). Our resistance mutations 127 in SUGP1 map in or adjacent to its G-patch motif and modulate splicing changes triggered by 128 PB. We describe biochemical experiments that reveal the spliceosomal disassembly ATPase 129 DHX15/hPrp43 to be the biologically relevant direct target of the SUGP1 G-patch domain. We 130 propose a unified model in which SUGP1/DHX15-mediated disassembly of kinetically-slowed 131 early splicing complexes explains compound resistance as well as oncogenic aberrant splicing 132 events resulting from SF3B1 and SUGP1 mutations. More broadly, our results demonstrate the 133 feasibility and utility of the programmed generation of informative viable haploid alleles 134 targeting a complex essential gene expression machine in human cells.

135

## 136 137 **RESULTS**

#### 138 Large-scale mutagenesis of the human spliceosome

139 A major impediment to the study of the human spliceosome in vivo has been the 140 inability to program point mutations in endogenous genes on a large scale. CRISPR-Cas9 141 technology now provides such opportunities (Anzalone et al., 2020). Due to its scalability and 142 ability to introduce point mutations, we chose CRISPR-Cas9 base editing for a forward genetic 143 screen of the spliceosome (Figure S1B). We first generated a monoclonal stable cell line 144 expressing FNLS (Zafra et al., 2018a), a cytosine base editor, in an eHAP (Essletzbichler et al., 145 2014) haploid cell background (hereafter: eHAP FNLS). During clonal cell line generation, we 146 maintained eHAP FNLS cells as haploid so that we could subsequently assign genotype-147 phenotype relationships (Figure 1D). We assessed editing efficiency on a set of standard 148 targets used previously (Zafra et al., 2018b) (Figure S1C,D). eHAP FNLS cells demonstrated 149 efficient editing (up to >90%) at expected positions within the editing window, which spans 150 positions 3-8 [with position 21-23 being the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM)], and induced transversions (C > R editing) at high frequencies (>25%) in some cases. Transversion editing 151 152 has been described previously; its extent is cell line-dependent (Sánchez-Rivera et al., 2022).

153 We designed a single guide RNA (sgRNA) library targeting a hand-curated list of 153 154 human spliceosomal proteins which engage at various steps of the splicing cycle (Figure 1A), 155 and are reproducibly detected through mass spectrometry (MS), interaction studies, and/or 156 visualized in structural biology studies (see Figure 1B, Table S1)(Sales-Lee et al., 2021). 157 Given that base editing outcomes are not fully predictable, to maximize mutagenesis we 158 targeted every available NGG PAM sequence across all annotated exons plus 20 bp flanking 159 intronic sequence (Figure 1C). Our library includes 42,618 sgRNAs targeting 42,650 sites, 160 including 8,426 sgRNAs that target genomic sites but are predicted to be non-editing with 161 FNLS, and an additional 1,000 guides that do not target genomic sites (non-targeting sgRNAs) 162 (Doench et al., 2016). The library can in principle mutagenize up to 30% of spliceosomal 163 protein coding sequences (Figure S1E) and edits are predicted to result in in missense

164 mutations in >50% of cases with an additional 20% of edits predicted to impact protein 165 sequence (Figure S1F, and Methods for details on mutation outcome prediction).

166 We cloned this library into lentiviral vectors that express the sgRNA and associate each 167 to a unique barcode and produced virus for transduction (Figure S1A) (Boettcher et al., 2019). 168 We transduced the library into eHAP FNLS cells, incubated them for 6 days to allow for editing 169 and selection of transduced cells, and then split the selected pool into treatment arms (control/DMSO vs. 2 nM PB, which approximates its EC<sub>50</sub>). We cultured cells for two weeks 170 171 while maintaining a representation of 500 cells per sgRNA. On days 0, 8 and 14 we isolated 172 genomic DNA and amplified and sequenced the sqRNA inserts; we also did this for the input 173 plasmid library (Figure 1E). Using the sgRNA-linked barcode, we randomly assigned sgRNAs 174 to two sample populations and depletion vs. enrichment of sqRNAs was analysed for both time 175 and treatment using DESeg2 (Love et al., 2014).

176 We then compared the abundances of guide sequences in the population that differed 177 in their predicted consequences versus the plasmid input control 14 days after transduction. 178 Given that most spliceosomal proteins are essential for cell survival, we anticipated that a 179 subset of induced mutations would be lethal or result in reduced viability, and that their sgRNAs 180 would therefore be depleted over time. As expected, sqRNAs predicted to promote mutations 181 with more severe consequences such as splice site mutations or creation of stop codons 182 displayed the strongest depletion as a class, consistent with efficient and precise editing at 183 many of those sites (Figure S1G). Conversely, guides predicted to be non-editing or to produce 184 silent mutations were generally not depleted

185 By comparing guide sequence abundances for day 14 for 2 nM PB versus the matched 186 DMSO control, we observed that several guides were enriched or depleted upon compound 187 treatment of the population (Figure 1F). For validation, we selected the sgRNAs showing 188 statistically significant enrichment or depletion (LFC > I2I, padj < 0.05) between the 2 nM PB 189 sample and its matched control sample on day 14. To this list we added a subset of sgRNAs 190 with high average enrichment/depletion but did not pass statistical significance (see Methods 191 for details). To enrich for PB-specific phenotypes, we required that those guides depleted after 192 PB treatment did not show depletion between t0 and t14 in untreated cells. This procedure

193 yielded 19 candidate-enriched sgRNAs and 26 candidate-depleted sgRNAs. These sgRNAs 194 and three non-targeting sgRNAs were then subjected to an arrayed dual-color competition 195 assay in which cells transduced with virus encoding a non-targeting sgRNA or transduced with 196 a candidate-depleted or -enriched sgRNA were marked with distinct fluorescent proteins, 197 respectively (Figure 2A). The assay confirmed the response to PB treatment for 23/26 of the 198 candidate-depleted sqRNAs and 11/19 of the candidate-enriched sqRNAs. Except for a sqRNA 199 targeting SF3B1 and another targeting PRPF6, only sgRNAs found to be statistically 200 significantly enriched validated in the confirmation assay, supporting the utility of the statistical 201 approach used (Figure 2B, S2A).

202

#### 203 Pladienolide B hypersensitive mutations identify functional spliceosomal residues

Given the notable number of sgRNAs depleted upon compound treatment, we determined the genomic consequences of base editing. We transduced eHAP FNLS with lentivirus carrying individual sgRNAs, grew cells for six days, isolated genomic DNA, amplified the edited locus and subjected the amplicons to deep sequencing. This approach revealed the consequences of base editing at the amino acid level (Table S2).

209 Guides presumably must edit efficiently to produce a depletion phenotype. Amplicon 210 sequence confirmed this expectation: all sgRNAs displayed high/substantial rates of editing 211 (median = 57.7% for C > T within positions 3 to 8, Figure S2B) leading to amino acid changes 212 that become depleted in the presence of PB (with a median of 82% of the sequences carrying 213 an amino acid change, Table S2). Again, we observed not only C > T editing but also C > R214 editing, with predicted mutations matching for 19/23 sgRNAs. Thirteen distinct guides 215 programmed mutations in early-acting spliceosomal factors, including SF1, SF3, and 216 DDX46/hPrp5 as well as the U2AF-associated DEAD box protein DDX5/UAP56 (Figure 2C). 217 Unexpectedly, we also identified a mutation in the tri-snRNP-specific protein USP39/hSad1 and five mutations in the second-step factor CDC40/hPrp17, which is first found in the Bact complex 218 219 (Haselbach et al., 2018). Finally, we found PB-sensitive mutations in factors that join 220 catalytically active complexes and act at the second chemical step of splicing (DHX35/hPrp16 221 and CACTIN), a point in the spliceosome cycle after which SF3b has been dissociated. As a

first step in understanding how these changes impact the spliceosome, below we briefly place some of these mutations into the context of existing spliceosome structures, focusing on the SF3 complex.

225 PB sterically blocks binding of the U2-intron branchpoint duplex to its pocket in SF3b 226 (Cretu et al., 2021), which is necessary for spliceosome assembly to proceed beyond the A 227 complex. Six of our PB-sensitive mutants occur in the SF3 complex, which consists of the SF3a 228 and SF3b subcomplexes (Brosi et al., 1993) . Recent work has shown that the HEAT-repeat 229 region of SF3B1, a SF3b component, undergoes a conformational transition upon U2 snRNP 230 binding to the branchpoint, moving from an open conformation to a closed state, thereby 231 stabilizing the U2-branchpoint duplex (Tholen et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 232 2020a). We mapped an SF3B3 E159K mutant to an interface between SF3B3, SF3B1 and 233 PHF5A (Figure 2D), distal to where PB or the branchpoint engages SF3b. The mutation lies in 234 a region of SF3b that changes conformation upon binding to the branch helix (formed between 235 U2 and the branchpoint sequence) (Figure 2E), likely impacting SF3B1 closing which would 236 favor PB binding and, presumably, cell growth inhibition. Finally, we mapped PB-sensitive 237 substitutions in residues in several factors not part of SF3b onto available structures 238 (Haselbach et al., 2018; Nameki et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2018) and found that they often 239 occur at protein-protein interfaces (Figure S3D-F, Table S2), providing a resource for structure-240 functional studies.

241

## 242 Viable SF3b mutations produce resistance to pladienolide B

243 SF3B1 and PHF5A mutations have been identified that render cells resistant to PB 244 treatment (Cretu et al., 2018b; Teng et al., 2017a), but they only occur in a dominant 245 (heterozygous) fashion, suggesting recessive lethality. Nonetheless, in our haploid screen, we 246 identified eleven significantly enriched sgRNAs that target these factors, five against PHF5A 247 and one against SF3B1, (Figure 1F). To identify the underlying alleles, we transduced eHAP 248 FNLS cells with individual sqRNAs and collected cells at t0, t8 and t15 in the presence and 249 absence of 2 nM PB treatment. Following genomic DNA isolation and amplicon deep 250 sequencing, we determined mutation prevalence across time and treatments (Figure 3A). Note

that for efficient guides, resistance-promoting mutations may be highly prevalent at t0 and therefore may not enrich in abundance under compound treatment (see e.g., sgPHF5A\_7, Figure S3A).

254 sgSF3B1 166 was the only sgRNA conferring PB resistance through mutation of 255 SF3B1. For this guide, no mutant alleles were detected by sequencing in the transduced cell 256 population in the absence of PB treatment (possibly due to poor editing efficiency), but an allele 257 encoding a T1080I change enriched rapidly upon PB addition to cells carrying this sgRNA 258 (Figure 3B). In contrast, all five guides targeting PHF5A gave rise to substantial cell populations 259 carrying different mutations at t0. Both sgPHF5A 7 and sgPHF5A 21 are predicted to result in cysteine to tyrosine mutations for side chains involved in the coordination of a Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion. 260 However, in both instances deep sequencing revealed that the predicted Cys > Tyr mutation 261 262 was not detected; rather, we observed mutations impacting the preceding residue (Figure 263 S3A,B). Both the resulting PHF5A K29N and E74D mutation arise through transversion (C > R264 mutation), soPHF5A 47 is predicted to result in mutation and loss of the 3' splice site of exon 265 3. This mutation did occur at high frequency (Figure S2C) and likely resulted in a growth 266 disadvantage (see Figure S2A, reduced fitness of sgPHF5A\_47 transduced cells in absence of 267 PB). Instead, after transduction with this guide, the more frequent mutation at t0 encodes a 268 D27N change which further accumulates in the population under compound selection. The 269 remaining two resistance-promoting sgRNAs in PHF5A are predicted to be non-editing. 270 sgRNA\_PHF5A\_6 targets a cytosine at position 7 in a  $G_6C_7$  dinucleotide context, which is 271 unfavourable to editing (Kluesner et al., 2021; Sánchez-Rivera et al., 2022). Indeed, this 272 sqRNA resulted only in 12% of amplified molecules harboring the anticipated D47N mutation. 273 Surprisingly, under PB selection, the more frequent mutation encodes a two amino acid 274 insertion (TL) between C40 and T41 producing a tandem TL dipeptide in the protein sequence 275 (hence we name the allele PHF5A-2xTL) (Figure 3C). This TL-encoding insertion occurred at 276 position -3 relative to the PAM of sgRNA PHF5A 6 where the nCas9 of the CRISPR-Cas9 277 base editor nicks the genomic DNA upon genomic binding. For the other non-editing sgRNA, 278 sqRNA PHF5A 26, editing should not occur within the editing window due to an absence of 279 cytosines. Indeed, we observed edits 13 and 15 bp upstream of the targeted sequence, which

alter S67 (Figure S3A, see Figure S3E for a summarized comparison of predicted mutations vs
those identified by sequencing).

282 Using this information, we mapped the encoded amino acid changes on the available 283 structures of SF3B1 and PHF5A. For SF3B1, the change lies within heat repeats 15 and 16, 284 which form a hinge region that supports a conformational change necessary for BP-A binding (Figure 3D.F) (Cretu et al., 2018a; Tholen et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020b). This location 285 286 differs from those of reported resistance mutations in SF3B1 at K1071, R1074 and V1078 -287 which are all residues that face PB in high resolution structures (Teng et al., 2017b; Yokoi et 288 al., 2011). For PHF5A, all five mutations that we identified impact residues in a protein surface 289 near the PB binding site, where PHF5A interacts with both SF3B1 and the U2-branchpoint helix 290 (Figure 3E,F) (Tholen et al., 2022) This contrasts with the location of the reported (dominant 291 resistant) Y36C mutation, which changes a residue that directly contacts PB (Teng et al., 292 2017b).

To test whether these mutations produce resistance to concentration-dependent acute killing by PB, we repeated guide transduction experiments followed by single cell cloning to generate six independent monoclonal cell lines, three harboring the mutation PHF5A-2xTL and three harboring SF3B1-T1080I (Figure S4A). The measured half maximal effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) of PB in a cell viability assay at 60 h post treatment confirms that PHF5A-2xTL (34 nM) and SF3B1-T1080I (24 nM) confer concentration-dependent resistance to killing by PB relative to the parental cell line (EC<sub>50</sub> = 2 nM) (Figure 3G).

300

# 301 Mutations in the G-patch tumor suppressor protein SUGP1 confer PB resistance

Unexpectedly, our screen identified resistance mutations in three factors, PRPF6, SF1 and SUGP1, that are not part of SF3b, the target of PB. We focussed on the analysis of the SUGP1 mutations. *SUGP1* (SURP and G-patch domain containing 1) was targeted by two guides, both of which were confirmed in competition validation assays (Figure 1F, 2B, S2A). Transduction, compound treatment, and amplicon deep sequencing suggests that sgSUGP1\_238 produces resistance via an E554K mutation and sgSUGP1\_188 produces resistance via a G603N mutation (Figure 3B, C).

309 Both mutations match the editing predictions with one encoding a change lying in 310 (G603N) and the other just upstream (E554K) of the G-patch motif (Figure 3A,D). SUGP1 is 311 associates with the spliceosomal A complex, where it interacts with SF3B1 (Zhang et al., 312 2019). SUGP1 has not yet been visualized in any spliceosome structure, nor are there 313 orthologs in S. cerevisiae or S. pombe. Recent work has identified SUGP1 as a putative tumor 314 suppressor: its loss from the spliceosome was suggested to underlie splicing and oncogenic 315 phenotypes of SF3B1 tumor mutations, and mutations in SUGP1 found in tumors mimic the 316 splicing phenotype of SF3B1 mutant tumors (Alsafadi et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2020; Zhang et 317 al., 2019).

For EC<sub>50</sub> assays, we again repeated transductions and produced three independent monoclonal cell lines (Figure S4A) for each mutation. To our surprise, no substantial change in EC<sub>50</sub> for PB was observed (Figure S4B). However, the EC<sub>50</sub> measurement occurs over a much shorter time frame than treatment during the screen, which indicates that the mutations confer resistance to PB-mediated growth inhibition over time but not immediately. These data suggest that the SUGP1 mutants act by a mechanism distinct from those in SF3B1 and PHF5A, which map near the drug binding site.

325

## 326 SUGP1 mutations modulate a subset of PB-induced exon skipping events

PB induces massive exon skipping as well as other splicing changes (Wu et al., 2018). To investigate the impact of SUGP1 mutations on PB-induced splicing changes, we performed RNA-seq analysis on our clonal cell lines. We also included the PHF5A-2xTL and SF3B1-T1080 clonal cell lines. Cells were treated with DMSO or 2 nM PB (=  $EC_{50}$ ) for 3 h (a time frame where cell viability is not yet affected, see Figure S4C) prior to RNA extraction and polyA-selection. We detected no changes in global transcript levels in the mutant cell lines (Figure S4C).

We used rMATS (Shen et al., 2014b) to detect differential alternative splicing events. In untreated cells, we observed exon skipping (skipped exon, SE) as the most frequent event triggered by the mutations followed by alternative 3' splice site (A3'SS) use; relatively few introns were impacted [using a difference percent splicing inclusion ( $\Delta$ PSI) cut-off of  $\geq$  110%I

and FDR > 0.01] (Figure 4E). Among these were changes in 3' splice site usage in introns of
the *TMEM14C* and *ENOSF1* genes, which, strikingly, correspond exactly to changes observed
previously in cells harboring SF3B1 cancer mutations or SUGP1 cancer mutations (Figure 4F,
G, S4D-F) (Alsafadi et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2020).

Upon PB treatment of these cell lines, the number of differentially spliced junctions increased drastically. Control cells (eHAP FNLS transduced with a non-targeting sgRNA) displayed the largest number of PB-induced splicing changes, while the PHF5A-2xTL and SF3B1-T1080 showed almost no changes, as would be expected if they were to reduce the effects of compound binding to the spliceosome (Figure 5A). Both SUGP1 mutants displayed an intermediate phenotype with fewer affected events than wild-type in the presence of PB.

348 Focusing on A3'SS and SE events induced by PB, we observed a general concordance 349 between control cells and SUGP1 mutants (Figure 5B, C). Hierarchical clustering of the splicing 350 junctions quantified by rMATS across all samples demonstrated that PB treatment almost 351 exclusively triggered increases in exon skipping (Figure 5E), but both increases and decreases 352 use of alternative 3' splice sites (Figure 5D). Subsets of splicing events induced by PB were 353 affected either equally strongly in control and SUGP1 mutant lines (example: RBM5 exon 16 in 354 Figure 5F, S5B) or displayed milder changes in the SUGP1 mutants (example: ORC6 exon 5 in 355 Figure 5G, S5B, C). No statistically significant differences in intronic or exonic features at the 356 introns equally vs. differentially affected by SUGP1 genotype were identified using Matt (Gohr 357 and Irimia, 2019); thus additional work will be necessary to identify the determinants of SUGP1sensitive, PB-induced alternative splicing events. These findings demonstrate that SUGP1 358 359 mutants can modulate splicing changes induced by PB, as expected from the ability of SUGP1 360 to produce relative resistance to the compound.

361

# 362 DHX15/hPrp43 is a mutationally sensitive ligand of the G-patch motif of SUGP1

G-patch motifs (named for their glycine-richness) are direct activators of DEAH-box helicases (Studer et al., 2020a; Warkocki et al., 2015); this is the only known activity of this domain. SUGP1 has therefore been suggested to recruit a helicase through its G-patch motif to SF3B1 and the A complex (Alsafadi et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2019). As we

identified mutations within and just upstream of the SUGP1 G-patch, it seemed likely that one
or both mutations impact the association and/or activation of a cognate DEAH-box ATPase.
Indeed, the G603N mutation lies within the "brace loop" analogous to the only G-patch protein
for which its helicase-bound structure is available (Figure 4D), a region known to be important
for the NKRF G-patch to bind and activate its cognate DEAH box helicase DHX15 (Studer et
al., 2020b).

To identify SUGP1 helicase partner(s), we employed proximity labelling (BioID) 373 374 exploiting our SUGP1 G603N mutant as a control. Recent advances allow for short labelling 375 times using Turbo fusion proteins (Branon et al., 2018). After optimizing conditions, we 376 transfected HEK293T cells with expression plasmids encoding SUGP1 with a C-terminal 377 miniTuboID fusion. After a short 2 min labelling pulse with biotin, extracts were prepared, and 378 labelled proteins purified under harsh conditions using streptavidin. Replicate samples were 379 subjected to tandem mass tag mass spectrometry (TMT-MS) (Figure S6A,B) to identify 380 differentially labelled proteins. Remarkably, only a single protein displayed a statistically 381 significant reduction in signal in the SUGP1-G603N-miniTurboID-tagged samples versus those 382 obtained with the wild-type fusion: the DEAH-box helicase DHX15/hPrp43, the protein that 383 disassembles the spliceosome (Figure 6A). We also identified DHX15/hPrp43 in a parallel 384 experiment using a fusion of a SUGP1-L570E-miniTurboID fusion which we constructed based 385 on the ability of this mutation to disrupt G-patch/DEAH protein interactions for a different G-386 patch protein, NKRF (Studer et al., 2020b) (Figure S6C).

387 To test more directly the impact of the G-patch mutations on the interaction and/or 388 activation of with DHX15, we employed biochemical methods. Modelling of a SUGP1-DHX15 389 using AlphaFold2 (Jumper et al., 2021) predicted that the G-patch domain of SUGP1 interacted 390 with DHX15 as anticipated but that the G-patch was flanked by unanticipated  $\alpha$ -helical 391 elements that are also predicted to interact with DHX15. In this model, the E554K substitution 392 may impact a contact with the flanking  $\alpha$ -helices (Figure 6B). We overexpressed SUGP1 393 mutants in HEK293T cells to assess their impact on splicing. We observed that deletion of  $\alpha$ H4-394 5 or αH6 as well as the E554K, G603N, and L570E substitutions displayed similar effects on splicing (Figure S5D-G. Thus, we constructed a series of maltose binding protein (MBP) fusion 395

396 proteins harboring the SUGP1 G-patch and varying lengths of flanking sequences (Figures397 6D,E and S6C).

398 We next mixed a purified version of DHX15 lacking its N-terminal domain (DHX15 $\Delta$ N) 399 with each MBP-SUGP1 fusion proteins, purified them with amylose beads, and analyzed the 400 material using SDS-PAGE. We observed that DHX15 A selectively copurified with each of the 401 MBP-SUGP1 fusion proteins (Figure 6D-E and S6D). However, we found that the efficiency of 402 copurification decreased with increasing length of SUGP1 constructs, indicating that protein 403 stretches surrounding the G-patch modulate its binding affinity for DHX15. For each of these 404 constructs, we generated mutations in the G-patch, corresponding to the two obtained in our 405 screen, E554K and G603N, as well as one in the central "brace-helix", L570E, that is known to 406 disrupt ligand binding in analogous G-patch proteins. For the construct harboring the most 407 upstream SUGP1 sequences (436-633) all mutations reduced binding to DHX15 AN, consistent 408 with loss of DHX15 labelling we observed in the BioID experiment. Therefore, it is likely that 409 disruption of DHX15 interaction forms the molecular basis for the observed PB resistance of 410 the SUGP1 mutants obtained in our screen. Consistent with the stronger affinity of DHX15ΔN 411 for the intermediate [MBP-SUGP1(522-633)] and shortest [MBP-SUGP1 (548-611)] SUGP1 412 constructs, their binding was not sensitive to the mutations obtained in our screen but was only 413 disrupted by mutation of the core interface residue L570E.

414 As G-patch proteins can enhance RNA binding to DEAH-box helicases (Studer et al., 2020b), we used fluorescence anisotropy to ask whether the SUGP1 fusions increased RNA 415 affinity of DHX15 AN. Using a fluorescently labelled poly-U RNA, we found that all SUGP1 416 417 constructs indeed increased RNA binding to DHX15∆N ~10-20-fold (Figure 6F-G and S6F). In 418 agreement with their effect on binding DHX15, all mutations in the longest SUGP1 construct 419 (436-633) blocked this stimulation (Figure 6G), while varying degrees of mutational sensitivity 420 were observed for the shorter SUGP1 truncations (Figure 6G and S6G), again indicating an 421 important role for sequences flanking the G-patch domain.

Finally, we investigated the ability of SUGP1 to stimulate the ATPase activity of DHX15 $\Delta$ N that is required for and coupled to its RNP remodelling activity. Indeed, SUGP1 (548-611) produced a 1.8-fold increase in initial ATPase rates of DHX15 $\Delta$ N at saturating ATP

- 425 concentrations, which was significantly diminished by all mutations consistent with their
- 426 negative effects on DHX15 binding.

427

## 429 **DISCUSSION**

430 The human spliceosome is essential for the splicing of over 200,000 introns in the 431 human genome. Because it is mutated in numerous diseases and the target of myriad splicing 432 regulators, it is a key compound development target. Numerous guestions exist regarding the 433 coupling of transcription and chromatin to splicing, the underpinnings of splicing fidelity, and the 434 functional roles of many if not most human spliceosomal proteins. However, the genetic 435 analysis of the human spliceosome has not been pursued, even though it harbors ~60 proteins 436 not found in S. cerevisiae and is likely to operate in ways that cannot be anticipated from prior 437 studies of yeast. Particularly useful would be so-called "informative alleles" that dissect 438 essential protein function. While CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screens are not designed to generate 439 such information, CRISPR base editing and related methods in principle provide approaches 440 generating programmed point mutations. We adapted pooled CRISPR-Cas9 base editing to the 441 human spliceosome to mutagenize 153 protein subunits in a haploid cell context. We 442 interrogated the mutants with PB, a prototype for a class of anti-cancer compounds that targets 443 the SF3b complex. Our studies provide insights into structure-function relationships by 444 identifying viable alleles of numerous spliceosomal proteins that program hypersensitivity or 445 resistance to SF3b inhibition. We demonstrate the utility of such alleles through studies of the 446 SUGP1 tumor suppressor. Below we discuss the evidence for these conclusions and propose a 447 new human-specific discard/fidelity step mediated by the activation of the spliceosomal 448 disassemblase DHX15/hPrp43 by SUGP1 during early stages of spliceosome assembly and its 449 implications for human disease.

450

# 451 PB hypersensitive mutations identify functional sites in the human spliceosome that 452 vary in the human population

We obtained PB-hypersensitive mutants in a small subset of the 153 proteins mutagenized. Gratifyingly, most lie in factors that act at or near the step inhibited by PB, including in SF1 and components of U2 snRNP. This specificity highlights the utility of singleresidue chemical-genetic interactions to identify functional sites related to a particular phase of an essential process. As described in the results above, many mutations we identified could be

458 placed on existing structures, enabling the generation of structure-function relationships. 459 However, most of the residues altered in our mutants are not visualized in existing structures. 460 In both cases, detailed studies *in vitro* and/or *in vivo* will be required to understand the impact 461 of these functional sites on splicing of the numerous endogenous introns that interrupt human 462 genes. The mutations identified here provide a resource for such investigations.

463 Mutations in the second-step factor CDC40/hPrp17 and CACTIN produce PB hypersensitivity to PB, even though PB impacts SF3b, which is dissociated from the 464 465 spliceosome (freeing the U2-branchpoint helix) by DHX16/Prp2 prior to the chemical steps of splicing so that the active site of the spliceosome can form. We speculate that triggering the 466 467 use of different branchpoints via PB results in a dependency on weaker 3' splice sites, whose 468 docking into the catalytic core of the spliceosome requires stabilization by step 2 factors, a 469 model consistent with the cryoEM structure of the human post-catalytic P complex (Fica et al., 470 2019).

Because the residues impacted by the PB-sensitive mutations are (by definition) functional, one anticipates that they would not vary in the human population, given the essential function of the spliceosome. Nonetheless, we asked this question by searching the ClinGen database. Strikingly, residues altered in seven of the PB-sensitive mutants have been identified in the human population (labelled as "non-disease-associated") with four displaying the exact same amino acid changes in the human population as in our PB-sensitive cells (Table S2), suggesting that these variants very likely have a functional impact.

478

# 479 Identification of SUGP1 G-patch mutations as PB-resistant

Our studies identified two sgRNAs that target SUGP1 that produce PB-resistance. The stronger of the two alleles produced by these guides, G603N, lies in a conserved domain of Gpatch proteins called the "brace loop" which is important for G-patch proteins to activate their cognate DEAH-box helicase. The other change, E554K, lies just upstream in a region predicted by AlphaFold2 to be helical. By performing proximity labelling, comparing wild-type versus mutant proteins, we identified a single DEAH-box protein, DHX15/hPrp43 as being both labelled by SUGP1-miniTurboID fusions and sensitive to a G-patch mutation obtained in our

487 screen. DHX15 has often been found in proteomic studies of early spliceosomes, but its 488 function at this stage remained relatively opaque. However, a recent study from Jurica and 489 colleagues has shown that depletion of DHX15 from HeLa cell extracts results in an increase 490 rather than a decrease in A complex formation (Maul-Newby et al., 2022). This result is 491 consistent with the observation that yeast Prp43 is the helicase that disassembles 492 spliceosomes (Martin et al., 2002; Tanaka et al., 2007). Taken together with our results and the 493 known association of SUGP1 with early spliceosomal complexes, we propose that SUGP1 494 recruits DHX15 to disassemble early spliceosomes, constituting an early discard step 495 analogous to late discard steps described by Staley and colleagues in yeast (Figure 7). In this 496 model, PB resistance results from mutation in the SUGP1 G-patch domain because this 497 increases A complex formation or residence time by inhibiting disassembly, thereby 498 counteracting the inhibitory activity of PB in reducing stable A complex formation.

499

### 500 Relationship to oncogenic mutations in SUGP1 and SF3B1

501 Manley and colleagues have proposed that cancer SF3B1 mutations act by limiting 502 association of SUGP1 with the spliceosome. This model, based on biochemistry, is supported 503 by genetic data that identified SUGP1 mutations in tumors that mimic the splicing phenotypes 504 of SF3B1 mutant tumors (Alsafadi et al., 2021). Indeed, many of the identified cancer mutations 505 map to the regions flanking the G-patch motif of SUGP1 (Figure S5F), which we show to 506 influence SUGP1-DHX15 interaction and splicing. It was proposed that the then-unknown 507 helicase that is recruited by SUGP1 might dissociate SF1 from the branchpoint, causing U2 508 snRNP to relocate to alternative branchpoints (Zhang et al., 2019). However, our discard model 509 proposes a different mechanism underpinning the effects of SUGP1 cancer mutations, namely 510 a defect in an early rejection step mediated by spliceosome disassembly (Figure 7). Such a 511 model would explain the activation of cryptic branchpoints as a defect in proofreading enabling 512 the production of oncogenic mRNAs via the activation of cryptic branchpoint/3' splice site 513 combinations as has been observed in SF3B1 and SUGP1 mutants (Liu et al., 2020; Zhang et 514 al., 2019). This model is also consistent with in vitro studies of DHX15 depletion described 515 above and the known activity of DHX15 in spliceosome disassembly.

516

# 517 Mutagenesis of an essential machine in human cells in a haploid context

518 While this work was underway, two laboratories recently independently reported the 519 deployment of base editor libraries in a variety of screens that involved phenotypic 520 characterization of single nucleotide variants and/or to probing of small molecule-protein interactions (Cuella-Martin et al., 2021; Hanna et al., 2021). These studies largely interrogated 521 522 individual proteins or part of a gene network and were mostly performed in diploid (or diploid-523 like) cells. To enable large scale studies in a haploid context, we generated editing-competent 524 eHAP cells expressing the FLNS editor under conditions that maintained their haploid state. A 525 notable finding from this work is that editors produce unexpected mutations at low frequencies 526 which can produce phenotypes upon positive selection. For PHF5A, about half of the PB-527 resistant mutants appeared to select for such mutations. While undesirable for the use of base 528 editing to generate specific programmed alleles, such unanticipated activities are useful for 529 mutagenesis studies. Given our experience with the spliceosome, the future is bright for using 530 base editing to interrogate essential cellular machines in human cells to produce new insights 531 into human cell biology and disease.

532

## 533 Limitations of this study

The editor we used in this study targets only a subset of residues in spliceosomal proteins and is limited by base editor specificity and the occurrence of PAM sites. Thus, many additional potential informative mutations may be isolatable with the advent of complementary technologies that enable for efficient base editing at additional sites without causing unwanted cellular toxicity. Our analysis of PB-hypersensitive sites requires further studies to understand their mechanistic impact. Biochemical tests of the proofreading model will require its reconstitution *in vitro* and, ultimately, structural analysis.

541

542

## 543 Acknowledgements

544 We dedicate this paper to the memory of Dr. Christine Guthrie. We thank all members of the 545 Madhani lab for scientific discussions and support. We thank Stefan Oberlin (UCSF) for advice 546 on bioinformatics analysis and CRISPR screens, Lukas E. Dow (Cornell) and David R. Liu 547 (Harvard) for advice on base editing, and Daniël van Leeuwen and Chris Richards for advice regarding HAP1 cells. The authors thank Qing Feng and Chris Burge for communicating 548 549 unpublished results and for technical advice. Sequencing data was generated at the UCSF 550 CAT and through the kind use of their NextSeg System by Venice Servellita and Charles Chiu. 551 I.B. was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation through an SNF Postdoctoral 552 Fellowship (191929 and 203008). Research in the Madhani lab is supported by R01 GM71801. 553 Mass Spectrometry was provided by the Mass Spectrometry Resource at UCSF (A.L. 554 Burlingame, Director) supported by the Dr. Miriam and Sheldon G. Adelson Medical Research 555 Foundation (AMRF) and the UCSF Program for Breakthrough Biomedical Research (PBBR). 556 Work in the Jonas lab was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) 557 through the National Center for Excellence in Research "RNA & Disease" (grant number 558 205601) and through SNSF project grants (grant numbers 179498 and 207458).

559

#### 560 Author contributions

561 Conceptualization and Methodology, I.B. and H.D.M.; Validation, Formal Analysis, and 562 Visualization, I.B.; Investigation, I.B., B.R., S.L., J.O-P., E.S., M.K.S, T. L., V.S.; Writing – 563 Original Draft, I.B., S.J. and H.D.M.; Writing – Review & Editing, all authors; Supervision, I.B., 564 H.D.M., and S.J.; Funding Acquisition, I.B., H.D.M and S.J.

565

#### 566 MATERIALS AND METHODS

567

# 568 Lead contact

569 Further information and request for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be

570 fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Hiten D. Madhani (hitenmadhani@gmail.com).

#### 572 Materials availability

573 Plasmids generated in this study are available from the Lead Contact. Cell lines generated in

this study are not available as eHAP cells and any product derived thereof are protected under

an MTA upon purchase of the eHAP parental cell lines from Horizon (original vendor).

576

#### 577 Data and code availability

The read counts for the CRISPR-Cas9 base editing screen is provided as Supplementary Tables and the FASTQ files for screens, validation experiments are deposited on the Sequence Read Archive. The accession number for the data reported is: XXXXX. RNA-seq data is deposited on the Sequence Read Archive with the accession number: XXXX. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE (Perez-Riverol et al., 2022) partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD038067.

585

#### 586 METHOD DETAILS

587

#### 588 Vectors

589 Assembly of vectors (cloning and mutagenesis) was, if not otherwise indicated, performed 590 using NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly (NEB #E2621).

*pLibrary (MP783)*: mU6 promoter expresses customizable guide RNA with a 20N barcode sequence at the 3' end of the tracrRNA to facilitate identification of individual sgRNAs and sample splitting into replicates (Boettcher et al., 2019). A core EF1α promoter expresses puromycin resistance and a T2A site provides BFP for easy titer determination of the lentiviral library.

*pFNLS:* A core EF1α promoter expresses 3xFLAG-tagged codon optimized FNLS base editor and provides with a P2A site EGFP for identification of FNLS carrying cell lines. A PGK promoter provides blasticidin resistance. This vector was modified from Addgene vector #110869.

600 *pHA-SUGP1*: Point mutations and deletions were introduced with into p3xFLAG-CMV-14\_3xHA

601 (Zhang et al., 2019).

602 Vectors for BioID: SUGP1 constructs were cloned from pHA-SUGP1 using primers (IB0156 5'-603 5'atgacgtcccagactacgcagctagcAGTCTCAAGATGGACAACC-3'; IB0157 604 tgtttagcgttcagcagcgggatagatccgcctgaGTAGTAAGGCCGTCTGG-3') into pCDNA3 3xHA-605 miniTurbo-NLS (Addgene #107172) digested with NheI-HF (NEB #R3131). 606 Expression plasmids: SUGP1 constructs were generated by PCR using a plasmid from the 607 human open reading frame library (hORFeome Version 5.1, ID: 53373) as template and gene-608 specific primers. For protein expression in E. coli, the constructs were cloned into the Ndel-609 Xbal sites of the plasmid pnEA-NpM, which is derived from the pET-MCN vector series that 610 harbors an N-terminal MBP-tag and a subsequent 3C protease site (Haffke et al., 2015). 611 Mutations in the SUGP1 constructs were introduced by 'round-the-horn (RTH) mutagenesis 612 (Hemsley et al., 1989) using the respective primers (Table S3). The cloning and insect cell 613 expression of hsDHX15 $\Delta$ N has been described previously (Studer et al., 2020b).

614

# 615 Cell Culture

All cell lines were maintained at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and were regularly tested negative for mycoplasma infection. Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells were grown in DMEM (with 4.5 g/L glucose, L-glutamine and sodium pyruvate; Corning #10-013-CV) supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells were passaged every 2-3 days.

Human eHAP cell lines and derivatives thereof were cultured in IMDM (with Lglutamine, with HEPES; Cytiva #SH30228), supplemented with 10% FBS and 1:100 penicillin/streptomycin. eHAP cell lines were at all times maintained at sub-confluent conditions and ploidy was regularly assessed with flow cytometry. When mentioned, doses of puromycin were 4  $\mu$ g/ml and blasticidin 10  $\mu$ g/ml.

625 Flow cytometry data was analysed with FlowJo (v10.8.1).

626

627 Cell viability assay

628 96-well plates were seeded with 11000 cells per well earlier in the day and treatment was 629 started after allowing cells enough time to attach to the plate surface. A serial dilution of PB 630 was then used (starting at 100 nM and followed by 10 additional 2-fold dilution steps down to 631 0.25 nM or starting at 10  $\mu$ M, 1  $\mu$ M, 250 nM and followed by 7 additional 2-fold dilution steps 632 down to 0.98 nM). DMSO percentage was maintained throughout and a DMSO-only control 633 was included. 60 h post PB addition, CellTiter 96® AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation 634 Assay reagent (Promega #G3582) was added and incubated for 4 h and read out according to 635 the manufacturer's instruction. Samples were measured in two technical replicates, whose 636 values were used as an average for three biological replicates. EC<sub>50</sub> curves were fit with 637 GraphPad PRISM.

638

## 639 Spliceosome library design and production

640 We compiled a list of all spliceosome components reproducibly detected through mass 641 spectrometry(MS), interaction studies, and/or purified and visualized in the spliceosome in 642 structural biology studies (Sales-Lee et al., 2021). This list encompasses 153 proteins (Table 643 S1). Guide sequences for targeting the spliceosome were designed using CHOPCHOP(Labun 644 et al., 2019) using [-Target \$GENE -J -BED -GenBank -G hg38 -filterGCmin 0 -filterGCmax 100 645 -consensusUnion -t CODING -n N -a 20 -T 1 -g 20 -M NGG]. We included all sgRNAs targeting 646 coding sequence across all exons in all isoforms, including 20 nucleotides into the introns and 647 UTR. Oligonucleotide pools were synthesised by CustomArray. Cloning sites were appended 5'-648 with AGTATCCCTTGGAGAACCACCTTGTTGG-3' and 5'-649 GTTTAAGAGCTATGCTGGAAACAGCATA-3'. The final oligonucleotide sequence was thus: 5'-650 AGTATCCCTTGGAGAACCACCTTGTTGG [sgRNA, 20 nt] 651 GTTTAAGAGCTATGCTGGAAACAGCATA -3'.

Primers (forward: cttggAGAACCACCTTGTTG, reverse: GTTTCCAGCATAGCTCTTAAAC) were used to amplify the library pool (15x cycles). The resulting amplicons were PCR purified (QIAGEN #28104) and cloned into the library vector [digested with Aarl (ThermoFisher #ER1582)] via Gibson assembly (NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly). The ligation product was buffer exchanged (BioRad #732-622) ethanol precipitated and electroporated into MegaX

657 DH10B T1<sup>R</sup> Electrocomp<sup>™</sup> Cells (ThermoFisher #C640003). The plasmid DNA was sequenced

to confirm library and barcode representation and distribution.

659

## 660 Spliceosome library annotation

661 CRISPR-Cas9 base editing outcomes were predicted according to the following rationale. We assumed that if editing occurs for a given sgRNA, all cytosines within the editing window 662 663 (position 3-8) will be mutated to thymine, with the exception of Cs at positions 3, 4, 6, 7, and 8 if 664 they are preceded by a G(Kluesner et al., 2018). This was used to classify sgRNAs into non-665 editing (= sgRNAs containing no C within editing window), non-editing GC (= sgRNAs containing C's in GC context unfavorable to editing, not at position 5 within sgRNA), and editing 666 667 sqRNAs. Editing sqRNAs were further classified by MNV (multiple nucleotide variant) prediction 668 using VEP (McLaren et al., 2016). For each MNV, where available only the outcome for MANE 669 (Matched Annotation between NCBI and EBI) transcript was considered. In a next step, 670 consequences were binned into categories and consequence severity was given in this order: 671 CDS\_missense > stop\_gained > start\_lost > SS\_acceptor, SS\_donor, SS\_region, CDS\_silent > 672 3'UTR > 5'UTR. It should be noted, that VEP considers a splice site region variant a sequence 673 variant with a mutation within 1-3 bases of the exon or 3-8 bases of the intron.

674

### 675 Virus production and MOI determination

For lentivirus generation and packaging, media for HEK293T cells was supplemented with nonessential amino acids (Gibco #25300054). Cells were seeded 24 h before transfection with jetPRIME reagent (Polyplus #114-15) at a 2.5  $\mu$ l to 1  $\mu$ g DNA ratio. Media was changed 6 h post transfection and fresh media was supplemented with ViralBoost Reagent (Alstem #VC100).

The packaging mix consisting of psPAX2 (Addgene #12260) and pMD2.G (Addgene #12259) was prepared at a molar ratio of 1:1. The following reagents were adapted according to scale of lentivirus production:

684 24-well plate: 2e5 cells seeded, 450 ng target DNA + 450 ng packaging mix per well. 685 6-well plate: 7.5e5 cells seeded, 1  $\mu$ g target DNA + 1  $\mu$ g packaging mix per well.

10 cm plate: 5.2e6 cells seeded, 5.5  $\mu$ g target DNA + 4.5  $\mu$ g packaging mix per dish.

Virus was generally concentrated using Lentivirus Precipitation Solution (Alstem #VC100). Virus was titered by seeding 2e5 eHAP FNLS cells in 1 ml media per 6-well plate and immediately adding sequentially diluted virus amounts. 48 h post-transduction the number of BFP positive cells was assessed by flow cytometry. A viral does resulting in 30-40% transduction efficiency, corresponding in an MOI of ~0.3, was used for all subsequent experiments.

693

#### 694 Generation of eHAP FNLS cell line

695 Lentivirus was generated with pFNLS and transduced on eHAP cells. Cell lines were selected 696 with blasticidin four days post-transduction for one week and then single cell sorted to obtain 697 monoclonal cell lines. Editing rate of a cell line was assessed by transduction with control 698 sgRNAs (EMX1, HEK2, HEK3, HEK4) and evaluation using sanger sequencing of the editing 699 window and EditR (Kluesner et al., 2018). Clonal cell lines showing high rates of base editing 700 were treated with 10  $\mu$ M 10-deacetylbaccatin-III (Selleckchem #S2409) for 10-15 days with 701 ploidy assessed every second day. Treatment was stopped as soon as an exclusively haploid 702 cell population was achieved. Editing rate was re-assessed and no changes were observed.

703

## 704 Generation of eHAP FNLS mutant cell lines

eHAP FNLS cells were transduced with lentivirus carrying a single sgRNA at an MOI of 0.3.
After 2 days sgRNA carrying cells were selected using puromycin for four days. Cells were
given two days to recover from selection pressure and then seeded as single cells by limited
dilution. The SF3B1 T1080I and PHF5A 2xTL mutations were obtained by first treating cells for
2 weeks with 2 nM PB to enrich for the mutations.

Single cell colonies were maintained and expanded while assessing ploidy and genotyping the clones. Genotyping was performed by using QuickExtract<sup>™</sup> DNA Extraction Solution (Lucigen #QE09050) on a fraction of a clone. The region of interest was amplified using custom primers and sanger sequenced. After expansion of the single cell, ploidy was again assessed before freezing the cell line for long term storage.

715

# 716 Ploidy assessment for eHAP cell lines

After harvesting, cells are washed with flow cytometry buffer (1x DPBS with 2% FBS, 4 mM EDTA pH 8) and stained on ice with 0.1% sodium citrate, 0.1% Triton X-100, 50  $\mu$ g/ml propidium iodide for 5 min and immediately assessed by flow cytometry(Beigl et al., 2020).

720

# 721 Spliceosome-wide CRISPR-Cas9 base editing screen

The screen was performed at 500x sgRNA representation for entire duration. 66 million eHAP FNLS cells were infected with the lentiviral spliceosome library (marked with BFP) at an MOI of ~0.3, such that every sgRNA was represented in approximately 500 cells. Puromycin selection was started at 48 h post transduction. At six days post-transduction, cells were assessed by flow cytometry to only contain sgRNA carrying cells (BFP-positive cells >95%). Cells were then split into treatment arms (DMSO vs. 2 nM PB; with identical DMSO concentration in both treatment arms). Cells were propagated and treatment was renewed every second day.

At screen end point cells were harvested and gDNA was extracted with QIAamp DNA Blood Maxi Kit (Qiagen #51194). Genome weight was estimated based on measured ploidy of cells. The sequencing library was prepared using NEBnext® Ultra II Q5 Master Mix (NEB #M0544) and custom primers (forward: IB0096-IB0104; reverse IB0106-IB0121) to have a balanced read sample. A barcode in the reverse primer was used for identification of the sequencing libraries. Libraries were gel-purified and cleaned up. Libraries were balanced and quality was assessed with Bioanalyzer High Sensitivity DNA Kit, Agilent #5067-4626).

736

# 737 Validation experiments

For validation experiment, 45 individual sgRNAs targeting the spliceosome were cloned into pLibrary containing EF1 $\alpha$ -puro-T2A-BFP and made into lentivirus as described above. sgRNAs were selected for significant enrichment or depletion (LFC > I2I, padj < 0.05). Moreover, we took statistically not significantly enriched/depleted sgRNAs if they were strongly enriched (LFC > 2.75) or depleted (LFC < -3.5). The threshold was set to approximately mimic the lowest level of enrichment or depletion observed, respectively, for the statistically significant sgRNA. All

744 depleted sgRNAs further had to fulfil LFC > -1 for a comparison of t14 vs. t0 in the control 745 condition. In addition, three non-targeting sgRNAs were cloned into pLibrary containing EF1a-746 puro-T2A-mCherry and into pLibrary EF1a-puro-T2A-BFP (see Table S3 for full list and 747 primers). Lentivirus was generated and titered as described above. 2e5 eHAP FNLS cells were 748 transduced with individual sqRNA lentivirus in 6-well plates. Puromycin selection was started 749 36 h post-transduction and continued for four days. Care was taken to maintain all cells haploid 750 (as diploid cells grow faster) and ploidy was checked with flowcytometry as described above. 751 On day 6 (= t0) cells were grouped according to their growth density on plate and a 752 representative sample was counted. An estimated 5500 cells per spliceosome sgRNA or non-753 targeting sgRNA carrying cells were each mixed with 5500 cells carrying sgNTC 400.

Treatment was started on the next day (DMSO vs. 2 nM PB) and cells were passaged as needed with treatment renewed every second day. The ratio of BFP:mCherry was assessed at t0, t4, t8 and t15.

757

#### 758 Library and sequencing for base editing window

759 Lentivirus from validation experiment was used to transduce 2e5 eHAP FNLS cells at MOI 0.3 760 in a 6-well dish. After puromycin selection on day 6 (= t0), 2x 11000 cells were seeded in 96-761 well plates and split into treatment arms the next day (DMSO vs. 2 nM PB). Cells were 762 propagated and harvested at t8 and t14 for gDNA extraction. Genomic DNA was extracted using QuickExtract<sup>TM</sup> DNA Extraction Solution (Lucigen #QE09050) and 1  $\mu$ l (gsp. >200 cells) 763 764 and was used for target site amplification using a 2-step PCR. In addition, each reaction 765 contained 10  $\mu$ I NEBnext Ultra II Q5 master mix as well as 1  $\mu$ M of each forward and reverse 766 primer. Primer pairs for PCR 1 were selected such that forward annealing primer is not closer 767 than 7 nt to editing window position 1 but still allowing that 75 sequencing cycles will read the 768 sequence. Primers were verified to anneal to a single position within the genome using BLAT 769 (Kent, 2002) and tested before use. Primers for PCR 1 (12 cycles) were flanked for the forward 770 primer by: 5'-ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT-[target specific sequence]-3' 771 and for the reverse primer by 5'-GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCT-[target 772 specific sequence]-3'. 1.5  $\mu$ l of PCR 1 were used as template for PCR 2 (14 cycles) and used

forward primers 5'-AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACAC-NNNNNNNN
ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGAC-3' (compatible to Illumina i5) and reverse primers 5'CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGAT- NNNNNNN- GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTG-3'
(compatible to Illumina i7), with both containing 8N barcodes for multiplexing.
Primers were removed after the second PCR step with AMPure XP Reagent (Beckman

#A63882). Library was quantified (QuantiFluor® dsDNA System; Promega #E2670) before
being pooled (10 fmol per sample) for each time point & condition, run on 8% TBE-PAGE gel,
then size selected for a range of 250-500 bp. Pooled libraries were then run on Bioanalyzer
High Sensitivity DNA Kit, Agilent #5067-4626) before final pooling and subsequent run on a
MiniSeq Sequencing System using the Miniseq High Output Kit (75 cycles) (Illumina #FC-4201001) with a 10% of phiX spike-in.

784

## 785 RNA-seq

786 eHAP FNLS and mutant cells were treated for 3 h with DMSO or 2 nM PB before cells were 787 harvested. This was done for three replicates of an eHAP FNLS cell line transduced with a nontargeting sgRNA. Total RNA was extracted using the RNAqueous<sup>™</sup>-96 Total RNA Isolation Kit 788 789 (ThermoFisher #AM1920) according to the manufacturer's protocol, Polv(A)-enriched RNA was 790 obtained with the poly(A) RNA Selection Kit V1.5 (Lexogen #157.96) and RNA-seg libraries 791 were generated using the CORALL Total RNA-Seq Library Prep Kit (Lexogen #117.96). The 792 libraries were then sequenced using paired-end 150 bp reads with 60 million reads per sample 793 on Nova Seq (S4).

794

# 795 RNA extraction and RT-PCR

RNA was extracted from cells using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen #74134) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Reverse transcription using either SuperScript III (Invitrogen #18080093) or SuperScript IV (Invitrogen #18090050) was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol using a mix of random hexamer primers and oligo(dT) using an input of 500 ng total RNA for a 10  $\mu$ l reaction. PCR was performed with junction specific primers (Table S3) using 2% of the cDNA as an input for a 25  $\mu$ l PCR reaction. PAGE was visualized using

802 SYBR Gold (Invitrogen #S11494) and intensity of PCR products were quantified using ImageJ

803 (NIH).

804

## 805 BiolD sample preparation for MS

806 6 million HEK293T cells were seeded on 150 mm plates and transfected 24 hours later with 15 807  $\mu$ g plasmid using jetPRIME reagent (at a 1:2.5 ratio for  $\mu$ g DNA: $\mu$ l jetPRIME reagent; Polyplus 808 #114-15). Transfection was performed in four independent biological replicates. Media was 809 replaced with fresh culture media 5-6 h post transfection. At 24 h post transfection, a biotin 810 pulse of 2 min biotin (culture media supplemented with 200  $\mu$ M biotin) was used for proximity 811 labelling. Cells were then immediately placed on ice and washed five times with ice cold DPBS 812 (Corning #21-031-CV) before collection by gentle repeat pipetting. Cell pellets were lysed in 813 1500 µl ice cold RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.5% Na-814 deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, Roche cOmplete and 1 mM PMSF). Cell lysates were 815 clarified by centrifugation (13000 x g at 4°C for 10 min) before guantification with BCA protein 816 assay (Thermo #23227). 2.5 mg protein in 1500  $\mu$ l RIPA buffer were added to 250  $\mu$ l MyOne 817 Streptavidin T1 Dynabeads (Invitrogen #65602), prewashed twice with 1 ml RIPA buffer. 818 Protein and beads were incubated for 30 min at 4 °C with rotation to capture biotinylated 819 proteins. Beads were then pelleted on magnet and washed twice with RIPA buffer (1 ml for 2 820 min at RT), washed once with 1 M KCL (1 ml for 2 min at RT), washed once with freshly made 821 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1 ml for 10 s), washed once with freshly made 2 M urea in 10 mM Tris.Cl pH 8 (1 ml for 10 s), before washing twice more with RIPA buffer (1 ml per wash, 2 min at RT). 822 823 Beads were resuspended in 200  $\mu$ I RIPA buffer before transfer to a new low binding tube. 824 Beads were then washed in 200  $\mu$ l 50 mM Tris.Cl pH 7.5, twice in 200  $\mu$ l 2 M urea in 10 mM 825 Tris.Cl pH 7.5, and twice in 200  $\mu$ l H<sub>2</sub>O.

826 Bead pellet was frozen for handover to MS facility.

827

## 828 On beads Digestion and TMT labelling

829 Sample-incubated streptavidin magnetic beads were resuspended in 9  $\mu$ l 5 mM Tris(2-830 carboxyethyl)phosphine 20mM triethylammonium bicarbonate and incubated for 30 min at

831 room temperature. After this, iodoacetamide was added to a final concentration of 7.5 mM, and 832 samples incubated for 30 additional minutes. 1  $\mu q$  of LysC (Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical 833 Corporation) was added to each sample and incubated at 37 °C overnight. Then 1  $\mu q$ 834 sequencing grade trypsin (Promega) was added to each sample and incubated at 37 °C 835 overnight. Supernatants of the beads were recovered, and beads digested again using 0.5 ug 836 trypsin in 100mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> for 2 h. Peptides from both consecutive digestions were combined 837 and recovered by solid phase extraction using C18 ZipTips (Millipore), eluted in 15  $\mu$ l 50% 838 acetonitrile 0.1% formic acid, and evaporated. Samples were then resuspended in 8  $\mu$  0.1 M triethylammonium bicarbonate pH 8.0. Dried samples were labelled according to TMTPro<sup>TM</sup>-16 839 840 label plex kit instructions (ThermoFisher Scientific). Briefly, TMT reagents were dissolved in 841 acetonitrile at 12.5  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l, and 4  $\mu$ l of these stocks added to the samples. After incubation for 1 h 842 at room temperature samples were quenched with 1ul 5% hydroxylamine, and all 16 samples 843 were combined, partially evaporated, and desalted using a C18 ZipTip as described before. 844 The eluate was dried in preparation for LC-MSMS analysis.

845

#### 846 Mass Spectrometry Analysis

847 Samples coming from RP fractionation were run onto a 2 µm, 75µm ID x 50 cm PepMap RSLC 848 C18 EasySpray column (Thermo Scientific). 3-hour MeCN gradients (2-30% in 0.1% formic 849 acid) were used to separate peptides, at a flow rate of 300 nl/min, for analysis in a Orbitrap 850 Lumos Fusion (Thermo Scientific) in positive ion mode. MS spectra were acquired between 851 375 and 1500 m/z with a resolution of 120000. For each MS spectrum, multiply charged ions over the selected threshold (2E4) were selected for MSMS in cycles of 3 seconds with an 852 853 isolation window of 0.7 m/z. Precursor ions were fragmented by HCD using stepped relative 854 collision energies of 30, 35 and 40 to ensure efficient generation of sequence ions as well as 855 TMT reporter ions. MSMS spectra were acquired in centroid mode with resolution 60000 from 856 m/z=110. A dynamic exclusion window was applied which prevented the same m/z (mass 857 tolerance 30 ppm) from being selected for 3 0s after its acquisition.

858

## 859 Immunoblotting

Cell lysates were mixed with NuPAGE LDS Sample Buffer (Invitrogen #NP00007), heated for 5 min at 95 °C, separated on SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Blots were either incubated for 1 h at RT or overnight at 4 °C with the following primary antibodies in TBS-T with 5% milk:  $\alpha$ -HA (Cell Signalling Technology, #3724) at 1:5000;  $\alpha$ -GAPDH-HRP (Proteintech, #HRP-60004) at 1:10,000. HRP-conjugated streptavidin (Invitrogen, #S911) reconstituted at 1 mg/ml was used at 0.3  $\mu$ g/ml in 3% (w/v) BSA in 1x TBST and blots were only incubated for 30 min in its presence.

867

## 868 **Protein expression and purification**

869 For pulldown and RNA binding assays, MBP-hsSUGP1 variants were expressed E. coli BL21 870 Star (DE3) (Invitrogen). Cells were grown at 37°C in LB medium until an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6 was 871 reached. Protein expression was induced with 2□mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside 872 (IPTG) and maintained at 37°C for 3 h. Expression cultures were harvested by centrifugation 873 and cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM 874 dithiothreitol [DTT]) supplemented with cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor mixture 875 (Roche), 1 mg/mL lysozyme (Sigma), and 5 µg/mL DNasel (Roche). For cell lysis, the 876 suspension was passaged through a LM10 Microfluidizer. Subsequently, the lysate was 877 cleared by centrifugation at 3200 g for 10 min and filtered (0.45  $\mu$ m). Lysates were incubated 878 with preequilibrated amylose beads (New England BioLabs) for 1 h at 4°C. Beads were washed 879 with lysis buffer and bound proteins were eluted with lysis buffer containing 25 mM maltose. 880 The eluates were concentrated, loaded onto a gel-filtration column (Superdex 200, GE 881 Healthcare) and eluted in size-exclusion buffer (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM 882 DTT). The MBP-hsSUGP1 variants were either directly used in biochemical assays or flash-883 frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

For the ATPase assay, MBP-*hs*SUGP1 variants were subjected to additional washes while bound to the amylose beads during the first purification step to remove ATPase contamination. Bound proteins where incubated with lysis buffer supplemented with 2 mM ATP and 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> for 10 min at 4°C and washed with lysis buffer containing 1 M NaCl. After the high-salt wash, beads were equilibrated again in lysis buffer before elution as described above.

#### 889

# 890 Protein binding assays

For interaction studies, purified His<sub>10</sub>-*hs*DHX15∆N and MBP-*hs*SUGP1 variants were mixed in equimolar amounts in pulldown buffer (50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT). His<sub>6</sub>-MBP was used as a negative control. Proteins were incubated with 50 µl of amylose beads (50% slurry in pulldown buffer) for 1 h at 4°C on a rotator. The beads were washed three times in pulldown buffer. Bound proteins were eluted with pulldown buffer containing 25 mM maltose. Proteins of input and eluate samples were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and were visualized by Coomassie staining.

898

## 899 RNA Binding Assays

900 RNA binding affinities of His<sub>10</sub>-hsDHX15 $\Delta$ N in complex with MBP-hsSUGP1 variants were 901 determined by measuring changes of fluorescence polarization (FP) in dependence of protein 902 concentration, as previously described (Studer et al., 2020b). Experiments were performed in 903 binding buffer (20 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol and 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) with 904 10 nM 5'-6-fluorescein amidites (FAM)-labelled U<sub>12</sub> RNA (Microsynth) and protein 905 concentrations ranging from 1 nM to 32 µM. FP was determined using a CLARIOstar 906 microplate reader (BMG Labtech) by excitation at 482 nm and detection at 530 nm wavelength. 907 All samples were measured five times and all samples were prepared in triplicates. After 908 baseline subtraction, the obtained FP values were normalized to 1 and fitted according to Rossi 909 et al. using GraphPrad Prism (Rossi and Taylor, 2011).

910

# 911 ATPase assays

Activity of *hs*DHX15 and its interactor *hs*SUGP1 were monitored using an NADH-coupled ATPase assay. For all measurements of ATPase activity,  $1.8 \mu$ M His<sub>10</sub>-*hs*DHX15ΔN and  $1.8 \mu$ M MBP-*hs*SUGP1 variants (G-patch (548-611) were mixed in ATPase buffer containing 50 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 50 mM KAc, 5 mM MgAc<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM DTT, 0.5 mM nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, 1 mM phosphoenolpyruvate, 12 U of pyruvate kinase, and 18 U of lactate dehydrogenase. All measurements were carried out in half area 96-well plates (Greiner). After

918 equilibration for 10 min at 37°C, reactions were started by adding 250  $\mu$ M ATP (pH 7.5). 919 Absorption at 340 nm was measured over a time course of 40 min at 37°C with one 920 measurement per minute using a CLARIOstar microplate reader. Absorption change in the 921 absence of ATP was measured for baseline correction. Absorption values were adjusted to a 922 path length of 1 cm. Absorption change over time was determined by linear regression and 923 converted to concentration change over time with an extinction coefficient at 340 nm of 6.220 924 M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> using Beer–Lambert's law. Initial velocities were derived from concentration change 925 over time using a total enzyme concentration of 1.8  $\mu$ M. All measurements were prepared in 926 triplicates. Absence of ATPase contaminations from hsSUGP1 preparations was confirmed by 927 measuring ATPase activity in the absence of hsDHX15 $\Delta$ N.

928

#### 929 DATA ANALYSIS

930

# 931 Screen analysis

The sequencing data was demultiplexed to obtain individual samples for timepoints and trimmed (BBMap BBDuk v.38.94). Reads were counted by alignment to a reference file of all sgRNAs present in the pool. In the next step, each barcode was randomly assigned to either of two replicates. 32 sgRNA targeted 2 loci and their reads were duplicated and assigned to both targets. Log2-fold changes between samples were calculated using DESeq, filtering out reads with on average less than 50 reads across all samples(Love et al., 2014).

938

### 939 Identification of causative mutations

940 Editing at the base editing window was guantified using CRISPResso2 v.2.1 (Pinello et al., 941 2016), run with the following parameters: [--exclude\_bp\_from\_left 18 --exclude\_bp\_from\_right 0 942 --quantification window center -12 --quantification window size 15 943 min average read guality 30 --default\_min\_aln\_score 60 --plot\_window\_size 20 --944 base\_editor\_output --output\_folder 20210531\_pos -p 12]. For analysis we used the 945 "Alleles frequency table around [sgRNA].txt" output files or in the single case where editing

946 occurred far outside the editing window "Alleles\_frequency\_table.txt" was used for information947 extraction.

Samples were processed to only consider those with 100 or more reads per condition across all conditions. In addition, all alleles with <1% in all conditions were removed before recalculating percent distribution of alleles. Alleles were translated and grouped by protein sequence outcome and for each percent distribution was summed. Log2-fold change was calculated for samples

Validation of PB sensitive samples: For samples depleted in the screen, only sequencing data
corresponding to t0 were considered. Mutational outcome was noted for any event with >5%
frequency.

956 Validation of PB resistant samples: All timepoints and treatment conditions were considered for957 the analysis.

958

## 959 Splicing analysis

960 The RNA-seg data was demultiplexed, trimmed (BBMap BBDuk v.38.94), and then 961 mapped using STAR 2.7.9 (Dobin et al., 2013) [--alignSJoverhangMin 8 962 alignSJDBoverhangMin 1 --outFilterMismatchNmax 999 --alignIntronMin 20 --alignIntronMax 963 1000000 --alignMatesGapMax 1000000 --peOverlapNbasesMin 10 --peOverlapMMp 0.2] and 964 SAMtools (v1.10) for conversion to sorted barn files. Reads were then deduplicated using the 965 UMIs from the Lexogen CORALL workflow to prevent removal of natural duplicates. On 966 average, 85% of the reads could be mapped and quality assessment using the RSeQC 967 package (Wang et al., 2012) showed neither a bias in read distribution nor gene body 968 coverage. Differential expression of genes was assessed using RSubreads (Liao et al., 2019) 969 for counting and DESeg2 (Love et al., 2014). To analyze splicing at the exon level only 970 junctions with more than 10 junction reads were considered. We used rMATS-turbo (Shen et 971 al., 2014a) (hereafter, rMATS) for quantification. For all analysis performed with rMATS only 972 splicing junctions with FDR < 0.01 were considered. Alternatively, we also used MAJIQ(v2.4) 973 (Mehmood et al., 2020) with IdPSI] ≥10 and Confidence Threshold > 90%. For analysis of

974 intron and exon features we used the software matt (Gohr and Irimia, 2019). When preparing

975 figures with IGV, junction reads with low numbers were removed for clarity

976

## 977 **Peptide and protein identification and TMT quantitation.**

978 Peak lists were generated using PAVA in-house software (Guan et al., 2022). All generated 979 peak lists were searched against the human subset of the SwissProt database 980 (SwissProt.2019.07.31), using Protein Prospector (Clauser et al., 1999) with the following 981 parameters: Enzyme specificity was set as Trypsin, and up to 2 missed cleavages per peptide 982 were allowed. Carbamidomethylation of cysteine residues, and TMTPro16plex labelling of 983 lysine residues and N-terminus of the protein were allowed as fixed modifications. N-acetylation 984 of the N-terminus of the protein, loss of protein N-terminal methionine, pyroglutamate formation 985 from of peptide N-terminal glutamines, and oxidation of methionine were allowed as variable 986 modifications. Mass tolerance was 10 ppm in MS and 30 ppm in MS/MS. The false positive rate 987 was estimated by searching the data using a concatenated database which contains the 988 original SwissProt database, as well as a version of each original entry where the sequence 989 has been randomized. A 1% FDR was permitted at the protein and peptide level. For 990 quantitation only unique peptides were considered; peptides common to several proteins were 991 not used for quantitative analysis. Relative quantization of peptide abundance was performed 992 via calculation of the intensity of reporter ions corresponding to the different TMT labels, present in MS/MS spectra. Intensities were determined by Protein Prospector. Summed 993 994 intensity per sample on each TMT channel for all identified carboxylases were used to 995 normalize individual intensity values. Relative abundances were calculated as ratios vs the 996 average intensity levels in the 4 channels corresponding to control samples. For total protein 997 relative levels, peptide ratios were aggregated to the protein levels using median values of the 998 log2 ratios.

999

# 1000 Software for data visualization and statistical analyses

1001 For visualisation of cryo-EM and crystal structures we used PyMOL (v2.3.5), for visual 1002 presentation we used R ggplot2 (v3.3.6) with MetBrewer (v0.2.0.) and ggVennDiagram (v1.2.0)

- 1003 or pheatmap (v1.0.12), and GraphPad Prism (v8), and the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV)
- 1004 (v2.12.2). Schematics were created with Adobe Illustrator 2023.
- 1005 All statistical analyses were performed in R (v4.1.2) using base R or package multcomp.
- 1006 Statistical significance of one-way ANOVA or t-test is indicated by asterisks (\* p < 0.05, \*\* p <
- 1007 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001), unless otherwise indicated.
- 1008
- 1009
- 1010 FIGURE LEGENDS
- 1011

# 1012 Figure 1. CRISPR-Cas9 base editing screen targeting the spliceosome reveals several mutants

- 1013 sensitive or resistant to the small molecule spliceosome inhibitor pladienolide B.
- 1014 (A) Schematic of intron sequences required for splicing and the spliceosome cycle across the

1015 major assembly stages. Depicted are involved snRNPs, important subcomplexes, proteins and

- 1016 helicases. Point of action of the small molecule spliceosome inhibitor pladienolide B (PB) is
- 1017 indicated.

1018 (B) List of spliceosomal genes targeted by the sgRNA library.

1019 (C) Schematic of tiling sgRNA library. Every available PAM sequence (denoted in dark blue) on 1020 both strands of the genome is targeted across all coding exons. CRISPR-Cas9 cytosine base 1021 editor is targeted to the genomic DNA through the sgRNA to promote C > T editing within each 1022 sgRNA's editing window (marked in pink). This results in a theoretical cumulative range 1023 targetable by base editing.

1024 (D) eHAP FNLS cell line can be maintained in a haploid state. Shown is the flow cytometry 1025 analysis of DNA content via propidium iodide staining at various days corresponding to the 1026 control arm of our CRISPR-Cas9 base editing screen.

1027 (E) Schematic of the pooled screen. After transduction cells are given six days for1028 mutagenesis by the CRISPR-Cas9 base editor. Cells are then split into treatment arms (DMSO

1029 vs. 2 nM PB) and collected after 14 days of culturing for DNA extraction and deep sequencing.

1030 (F) Results of screen. MA-plot comparing day 14 of cells grown in presence or absence of 2 nM

1031 PB. For orientation lines indicate a log<sub>2</sub>-fold enrichment or depletion of two. sgRNA with strong

1032 sensitivity to PB are emphasized in green (dark green: p-adj < 0.05, light green: p-adj  $\ge$  0.05 1033 but highly depleted). sgRNAs resulting in PB resistance are colored by protein target. For 1034 clarity, only data points for sgRNAs that passed the confirmation assay are shown. Dashed 1035 line: sgRNA targeting the same position and predicted to result in identical mutational outcome. 1036 Dark green: statistically significantly depleted sgRNAs. Light green: strongly depleted sgRNAs.

1037

1038 <u>Figure 2. Pladienolide B sensitive mutations occur predominantly in early spliceosomal</u> 1039 complexes.

(A) Schematic of the arrayed confirmation assay. Individual sgRNA are transduced, marked
with BFP, and cells are mixed 1:1 with non-targeting sgRNA carrying cells, marked by mCherry.
Cell populations are left to compete during 15 days of growth in either DMSO or 2 nM PB
treatment. The ratio of BFP:mCherry is measured with flow cytometry.

1044 (B) Individual sgRNAs and their performance in the confirmation assay. sgRNAs are grouped 1045 by category they were found in in the primary screen. Measurements are from three 1046 independent transductions (n=3). \*; \*\*; \*\*\*: Student's t-Test (paired) P value < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001,

1047 respectively.

1048 (C) Assignment of proteins targeted by sgRNAs conferring hypersensitivity to PB to the
1049 spliceosome cycle. Factors are indicated at their respective first step of action. *Saccharomyces*1050 *cerevisiae* (*Sc.*) names are given where applicable. If multiple sgRNAs are found for a protein,
1051 this is indicated with a number in parenthesis.

(D) Close-up of location of PB-sensitive SF3A1 G159K mutation plotted on the structure of
SF3b bound to PB. It lies at the interface of SF3B3 (green), SF3B1 (violet) and PHF5A (teal).

1054 (PDB: 6EN4)

1055 (E) Comparison of SF3B3, SF3B1 and PHF5A in the structure of SF3b bound to PB and the A-

1056 like complex. SF3B1 undergoes a large conformational rearrangement from the open to the

1057 half-closed state. For easier tracking of the conformational change, HEAT repeat 1 (HEAT1) is

1058 indicated. Locations of PB-sensitive mutations are marked in magenta. (PDB: 6EN4, 7Q4O)

1059

1060 Figure 3. Novel resistance mutations in SF3B.

1061 (A) Schematic of workflow to identify phenotypic mutations. Left: Cells are transduced with 1062 single sgRNA in an arrayed format. After six days (t0) treatment is initiated and a cell sample is 1063 harvested at t0 as well as t8 and t15 for genomic DNA (gDNA) extraction. Middle: Locus-1064 specific primers are used to amplify the editing window and its flanking sequence of the gDNA. 1065 The amplicons are then deep sequenced to identify mutations. *Right:* Mutational outcomes are 1066 translated and the resulting protein sequences are aggregated as multiple DNA sequences 1067 may result in the same protein sequence. Prevalence of each protein sequence is calculated 1068 for each time point and treatment condition. Where applicable, log<sub>2</sub>-fold changes are calculated 1069 between two samples. Finally, time points and treatments can be compared across samples for 1070 both prevalence and/or log<sub>2</sub>-fold change to the wild type (wt). Inferred phenotypic mutations 1071 (most prevalent at t15 +PB) are indicated by a green background.

(B) Editing outcome for sgSF3B1\_166: In the absence of PB across t0, t08 and t15 the wt is the
only occurring protein (100% prevalence). Upon PB treatment, SF3B1 T1080I rapidly enriches
(t15 = 100% prevalence). This striking behaviour is emphasised by the log<sub>2</sub>-fold change for this
sgRNA.

1076 (C) Editing outcome for sgPHF5A\_6: In the absence of PB some mutations occur within the 1077 editing window around R44. PB treatment enriches for a rare 6 nucleotide insertion occurring 1078 from the nicking action of the nCas9, which is part of FNLS. The resulting mutation is PHF5A 1079 TL insertion between C40 and T41 in PHF5A resulting in a tandem TLTL sequence.

(D) Location of SF3B1 T1080I resistance mutation: SF3B1 HEAT repeats 15,16, and 17 (H15, H16, H17), which form part of the PB binding pocket (PB illustrated in yellow). H15 and H16
form a hinge within SF3B1 which undergoes a closing motion upon BP-A binding. T1080
(magenta) is located on the back of H15 facing away from PB and towards H14 (not shown).
Known resistance mutations at K1071, R1074, V1078 are shown with side chains indicated.
(PDB: 6EN4)

(E) Location of PHF5A resistance mutations: All mutations are indicated (magenta) and occur
on the face of PHF5A involved in PB binding. Y36C is also indicated – the only previously
known PB resistance mutation in PHF5A. (PDB: 6EN4)

1089 (F) Illustration of SF3B1 and PHF5A resistance mutations in context of U2snRNP (A-like 1090 conformation). Mutations (magenta, circled) occur in vicinity to the branch helix and 1091 branchpoint adenosine. (PDB: 7Q4O)

(G) Sixty-hour cell proliferation profiling (CellTiter-AQueous cellular viability and cytotoxicity
assay) of control eHAP FNLS cell line expressing non-targeting sgRNA and monoclonal cell
lines carrying either SF3B1 T1080I or PHF5A 2xTL mutation to PB. Error bars indicate s.d. n =
3 (average of two technical replicates for independent clonal cell lines).

1096

1097

# 1098 Figure 4. Novel resistance mutations in SUGP1.

1099 (A) Schematic of SUGP1 and its domains and motifs. Residues targeted and mutated by1100 CRISPR-Cas9 base editor are indicated with arrows.

(B) Editing outcome for sgSUGP1\_238: At t0 and in the absence of PB treatment E554Q
dominates. This mutation depletes under PB treatment and E554K becomes the dominant
mutation.

1104 (C) Editing outcome for sgSUGP1\_188: PB treatment enriches for G603N. Inferred phenotypic
1105 mutations are indicated with a green background and inferred bystander mutations with a
1106 yellow background.

(D) Sequence alignment of all human G-patch motifs involved in splicing with the NKRF Gpatch motif included as a reference for structural comparison. Shaded residues indicate amino acids with more than 30% identity. Brace-helix and brace-loop, as identified in the NKR Gpatch are marked above the aligned sequences. Positions of mutants identified in screen are also indicated. Sequence alignment was performed with JalView (v.2.11.2.5).

1112 (E) Identified splicing changes for mutant vs. control cell lines. Skipped exons and A3'SS are 1113 the most frequently observed splicing changes. Numbers are shown for junctions identified with 1114 rMATS with FDR > 0.01 and  $|\Delta PSI| \ge 10$  ( $\Delta PSI$ : PSI of mutant sample – PSI of control sample, 1115 where PSI: percent spliced in). RNA-seq data of total, polyA-selected RNA from three 1116 independent clonal cell lines treated for 3 h with DMSO. (A3'SS: alternative 3' splice site use;

- 1117 A5'SS: alternative 5' splice site use; MXE: mutually exclusive exon; RI: retained intron; SE:
- 1118 skipped exon.)
- (F) Sashimi plot for alternative 3' splice site usage in *TMEM14C* exon 2 (DMSO). The control
  cell line exclusively uses the distal 3' SS while the SUGP1 mutants also make use of a proximal
  3'SS. Representative traces for a single clonal cell line are shown (plot generated with IGV;
  alignment to Hg38).
- 1123 (G) RT-PCR and quantification for alternative 3' splice site usage for TMEM14C exon 2
- 1124 (DMSO). RNA extracted from eHAP FNLS cell lines same monoclonal cell lines as for RNA-
- 1125 seq.
- 1126 Statistical analysis for RT-PCR: one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's for multiple comparison (two-
- 1127 sided, with control as reference) was performed with R and package multcomp (v.1.4-20); \* p < p
- 1128 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, and \*\*\* p < 0.001; all with n = 3.
- 1129
- 1130

## 1131 Figure 5. RNA-seq analysis of mutants

1132 (A) Analysis of PB-induced splicing regulation in mutant vs. control cell lines. PB-resistant cell 1133 lines carrying mutations PHF5A 2xTL or SF3B1 T1080I at the PB binding pocket show very 1134 little change in splicing regulation compared to control cell lines or SUGP1 mutant cell lines. 1135 Numbers are shown for junctions identified with rMATS with FDR > 0.01 and  $|\Delta PSI| \ge 10$ . RNA-1136 seq data of total, polyA-selected RNA from three independent clonal cell lines treated for 3 h 1137 with 2 nM PB or DMSO.

(B) Overlap in cassette exons affected by PB treatment for all junctions observed in all three sample groups (control vs. SUGP1 E554K vs. SUGP1 G603N). 29% of differentially spliced cassette exons are affected in all three genetic backgrounds. SUGP1 mutants do not share more overlap than they individually share with the control sample. Splicing junctions had to be detected by rMATS with FDR < 0.01 to be included in analysis.</p>

(C) Overlap in alternative 3' splice site use affected by PB treatment for all junctions observed
in all three sample groups (control vs. SUGP1 E554K vs. SUGP1 G603N). 23% of differentially
spliced cassette exons are affected in all three genetic backgrounds. SUGP1 mutants do not

1146 share more overlap than they individually share with the control sample. Splicing junctions had

to be detected by rMATS with FDR < 0.01 to be included in analysis.

1148 (D) Hierarchical clustering of differential splicing of alternative 3' splice sites for PB treatment, 1149 based on PSI (percent spliced in) changes. The heatmap represents  $\Delta$ PSI values of A3'SS use 1150 upon treatment with PB at 2 nM for 3 h vs. DMSO as detected in total, polyA-selected RNA 1151 using rMATS. In contrast to mutants at the PB-binding interface, SUGP1 mutants E554K and 1152 G603N are susceptible to PB induced splicing changes. Predominantly, PB treatment results in 1153 increased and decreased use of the canonical 3'SS. Mutations in SUGP1 modulate observed 1154 phenotype. Only splicing junctions with FDR < 0.01 and I $\Delta$ PSII ≥ 10 were considered.

1155 (E) Hierarchical clustering of differential splicing of cassette exons for PB treatment. The 1156 heatmap represents  $\Delta$ PSI values of cassette exons upon treatment with PB at 2 nM for 3 h vs. 1157 DMSO as detected in total, polyA-selected RNA from eHAP FNLS cells using rMATS. In 1158 contrast to mutants at the PB-binding interface, SUGP1 mutants E554K and G603N are 1159 susceptible to PB induced splicing changes. Predominantly, PB treatment results in increased 1160 exon skipping and mutations in SUGP1 modulate observed phenotype. Only splicing junctions 1161 with FDR < 0.01 and I $\Delta$ PSII ≥ 20 were considered.

(F) Sashimi plot for alternative splicing of exon 16 in *RBM5* for 2 nM PB vs. DMSO treatment.
The control cell line and the SUGP1 mutants switch to predominant exon skipping upon PB
treatment. Representative traces for a single cell line each (plot generated with IGV; alignment
to Hg38).

(G) Sashimi plot for alternative splicing of exon 4 in *ORC6* for 2 nM PB vs. DMSO treatment.
SUGP1 mutants show less exon skipping than control cell lines upon PB treatment.
Representative traces for a single cell line each are shown (plot generated with IGV; alignment to Hg38).

1170

# 1171 Figure 6. SUGP1 interacts with DHX15.

(A) miniTurbo proximity labeling using FLAG-SUGP1-miniTurboID G603N vs. wt overexpressed
in HEK293T cells. Biotinylated proteins were identified with TMT-MS after enrichment with
streptavidin beads. -log<sub>10</sub>(p-value) is plotted against the log<sub>2</sub>-fold change (LFC) in a volcano

1175 plot (dashed lines: cutoffs at p < 0.05 and LFC > 0.5). The only identified depleted factor is

1176 DHX15. SURF6 is a protein of the ribosomal biogenesis pathway.

1177 (B) AlphaFold2 prediction of SUGP1 (522-633), encompassing the G-patch motif flanked by 1178  $\alpha$ H6 and  $\alpha$ H7, in complex with DHX15. To the right a close up is shown. The residues identified 1179 in our screen (E554 & G603, magenta) are at the interface with DHX15, while  $\alpha$ H6 &  $\alpha$ H7 are 1180 not predicted to interact with the helicase. (n = 4)

1181 (C-E) Domain organization and schematic representation of the MBP-*hs*SUGP1 variants with 1182 the introduced mutations colored in red. The different SUGP1 construct boundaries for G-patch 1183 (amino acid residues 548-611),  $\alpha$ H6- $\alpha$ H7 (522-633) and  $\alpha$ H4- $\alpha$ H7 (436-633), respectively, are 1184 indicated at the sides and features of the predicted secondary structure are depicted above.

1185 (D-E) Coomassie-stained gels of protein binding assays using purified MBP-*hs*SUGP1 1186 constructs and His<sub>10</sub>-*hs*DHX15 $\Delta$ N. MBP-SUGP1 G-patch (D), and  $\alpha$ H4- $\alpha$ H7 (E) with either 1187 wildtype (wt) protein sequence or carrying the indicated mutation were used as baits and His<sub>6</sub>-1188 MBP served as a control. Input (1.5% of total) and eluates (24% of total) were loaded.

1189 (F-G) Fluorescence polarization of FAM-labeled  $U_{12}$  RNA with His<sub>10</sub>-*hs*DHX15 $\Delta$ N in the 1190 absence or presence of MBP-SUGP1 G-patch (F) and  $\alpha$ H4- $\alpha$ H7 (G) wt or mutants. The dashed 1191 line indicates 50% normalized polarization. Error bars represent standard deviations from the 1192 average values of triplicate measurements. RNA dissociation constants (Kd) with standard 1193 error of means (SEM) were derived from fitting the respective data by linear regression.

1194 (H) Initial ATPase activity rates of  $His_{10}$ -*hs*DHX15 $\Delta$ N in the absence or presence of MBP-1195 *hs*SUGP1 G-patch wt or mutants at 250  $\mu$ M ATP. Error bars indicate standard deviations of 1196 three independent measurements, asterisks denote significance (one-way ANOVA with 1197 Tukey's) with \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001, and \*\*\*\* p < 0.0001.

1198

#### 1199 Figure 7. Model for SUGP1-DHX15 and proofreading at early spliceosome assembly

Left panel: On weak splice sites (either with a weak branchpoint sequence, PPT or 3' SS or a combination thereof) the transition from E complex to A complex is inhibited as PB binds to the U2 snRNP and prevents the full binding of the branch helix and recognition of the BP-A. These stalled spliceosomes are recognized by SUGP1-DHX15 and are discarded. Less mRNA is

- 1204 being produced in this scenario and more alternative mRNAs with skipped exons or alternative
- 1205 3' splice site choice result.
- 1206 *Right panel:* A mutation in SUGP1 can weaken SUGP1 interaction with DHX15, removing the
- 1207 proofreading & discard pathway, giving the cell "more time" to assembly A complex and to
- 1208 proceed with splicing.

### 1210 SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

1211

## 1212 Figure S1. Spliceosome base editor screen

(A) Lentiviral vectors used in this study. *Left:* Construct for generation of eHAP FNLS cell line
by transduction and selection for blasticidin resistant cells exhibiting GFP fluorescence. *Right:*Vector for pooled library. The sgRNA is followed by a N<sub>20</sub>-barcode which will uniquely label
each sgRNA and can be read out during paired-end sequencing. The vector carries a
puromycin resistance cassette for easy selection during the screen.

1218 (B) Schematic of CRISPR-Cas9 base editing. The sgRNA loaded on a nickase Cas9 (nCas9)

1219 targets a tethered ssDNA-specific deaminase to a chosen genomic locus. The deaminase then

1220 modifies all nucleotides within the editing window.

1221 (C) Benchmark test of eHAP1 FNLS cell line for four commonly used sgRNAs. Shown is the 1222 rate for C > T editing as well as for C > R transversion mutations six days post-transduction 1223 with the sgRNA and after selection for sgRNA carrying cells. Overall high rates of editing are 1224 observed for eHAP FNLS. Editing rates across replicates are very consistent. (n = 4, except 1225 HEK2 n=6)

(D) Benchmark test of eHAP1 FNLS cell line. Shown are Sanger sequencing traces for thetarget region of EMX1 and HEK3 sgRNA. In the presence of the sgRNA the cytosines within

1228 the editing window (position 5 and 6, or 4 and 5 respectively) are modified.

(E) Fraction of nucleotides that can be modified across the coding sequence targeted by thespliceosome sgRNA library with FNLS.

(F) Predicted editing consequences per sgRNA from VEP when utilizing FNLS. ~70% of
changes are predicted to be of high impact. Consequences were binned into categories based
on most severe outcome with splice site acceptor/donor variant > nonsense > stop lost > start
lost > missense > splice region variant > silent > intron variant > UTR variant.

(G) Performance of sgRNAs targeting spliceosomal proteins, binned by predicted editing
consequence. Non-targeting sgRNAs serve as a negative control. The dashed line marks the
LFC for the bottom 5% of negative controls (LFC = -0.8814), percentage of sgRNAs falling
below this threshold is indicated. Figure drawn in R with ggplot2 (v3.3.6) and ggridges (v0.5.3).

1239

1240

# 1241 Figure S2 Validation and mapping experiments

1242 (A) Indivdual sgRNAs and their performance in the confirmation assay. Measurements are from 1243 three independent transductions (n=3). Student's t-Test (paired) with P value \* p < 0.05, \*\* p <

1244 0.01, and \*\*\* p < 0.001, respectively.

(B) Sum of conversion per each position within the protospacer, for 23 sgRNAs validated for
hypersensitivity to PB. The position of the C is indicated along the x axis, with 1 corresponding
to the first nucleotide of the protospacer and 21-23 corresponding to the PAM. The editing
window (3-8) is shown in gray. Lines indicate median of edits at that position across all 23
sgRNAs.

1250 (C) Location of PB sensitive mutations in SF1 mapped to structure of chimeric SF1 SURP 1251 binding region fused to SF3A1 SURP1. SF1 residues S315 and L316 are targeted by 1252 sgSF1\_265, which gives rise to PB sensitivity. The target lies within the SF1 SURP binding 1253 region and forms part of the hydrophobic interface with SF3A1 SURP1. sgSF3A1\_121 and 1254 sgSF3A1\_283 result in mutation of SF3A1 SURP1 residues S110 and R50, respectively, which 1255 results in PB sensitivity. All sgRNA targeted residues are shown in magenta. (PDB: 7VH9)

(D) Location of the SURP1 domain of SF3A1 in the B<sup>act</sup> complex, the earliest stage of
spliceosome assembly it has been visualized in. PB hypersensitive mutations of SF3A1 target
R50 and S110, which locate to the SURP1 domain. Both residues are at this stage in proximity
to XAB2/SYF1 and CRINKL/SYF3 which are part of "bridge 2" as described by Haselbach *et al.*(2018). (PDB: 6FF7)

1261 (E+F) Six sgRNAs targeting CDC40/hPrp17 give rise to PB sensitivity. (PDB: 5XJC) (E) Three 1262 target the N-terminal domain of CDC40 at the flexible N-terminus (S14 and S16) as well as at 1263 P95 and G113. P95 is in close contact to the PPIL1 domain, while G113 is at the interface to 1264 RBM22. (F) Three more sgRNAs target the C-terminal WD40 domain of CDC40. The target 1265 sites are located in loops which face away from the catalytic core.

1266

## 1267 Figure S3. Analysis of mutations in PHF5A

(A) Editing outcome for sgPHF5A\_7: In the absence of PB the sgRNA results in a K29N
mutation. PB treatment further enriches for this mutation. Bystander mutations at D27 may
occur but do not strongly enrich.

1271 (B) Editing outcome for sgPHF5A\_21: In the absence of PB some mutations occur at E74. PB

- 1272 treatment enriches for an E74D mutation (with E74N also showing some enrichment vs. the
- 1273 DMSO control sample).

1274 (C) Editing outcome for sgPHF5A\_47: In the absence of PB the 3'SS of exon 3 is mutated. PB

- 1275 treatment enriches for mutations at D27.
- (D) Editing outcome for sgPHF5A\_26: In the absence of PB mutations occur at S67. PB
  treatment enriches for an S67C which is present at a similar prevalence as S67F at t15.

1278 (E) Comparison of predicted mutations in PHF5A with actual confirmed mutations in PHF5A.

1279 Deep sequencing of the target region allows for the precise identification of mutations likely

1280 responsible for phenotype (PB resistance). This shows that while sgRNAs can predict the

region where mutations occur, in this instance only D27 could be confirmed as a mutation site.

1282 The four other sgRNAs resulted in mutations proximal to the predicted editing sites.

1283

## 1284 Figure S4. Clonal cell line generation and analysis

1285 (A) Schematic for generation of monoclonal eHAP FNLS cell lines carrying mutations in splicing

1286 factors. All cell lines were genotyped and verified to be haploid.

1287 (B) Sixty hour cell proliferation profiling (CellTiter-AQueous cellular viability and cytotoxicity

1288 assay) of control eHAP FNLS cell line expressing non-targeting sgRNA and monoclonal cell

1289 lines carrying either SUGP1 E554K or SUGP1 G603N mutation to PB. Error bars indicate s.d. n

1290 = 3 (average of two technical replicates for independent clonal cell lines).

1291 (C) Cell cycle analysis for eHAP FNLS upon treatment with 2 nM PB. After 4 h an increase in 1292 G2% suggests cell cycle arrest and death. Cell cycle analysis was performed on ethanol fixated 1293 cells by staining with propidium iodide after RNase treatment (5  $\mu$ g RNase A at RT for 30 min 1294 per max. 1e6 cells).

(D) MA-plots for RNA-seq comparing control cell line transcript mean counts vs. mutant celllines under DMSO treatment. Mutations do not appear to affect the transcriptome.

(E) Sashimi plot for alternative 3' splice site usage in *ENOSF1* exon 11 (DMSO). The control
cell line exclusively uses the distal 3'SS while the SUGP1 mutants also make use of a proximal
3'SS. Representative traces are shown (plot generated with IGV with only junctions ≥5 reads
shown; alignment to Hg38) and junctions of interest are emphasized.

1301 (F) RT-PCR and quantification for alternative 3' splice site usage for ENOSF1 exon 11

1302 (DMSO). RNA extracted from eHAP FNLS cell lines – same monoclonal cell lines as for RNA-

1303 seq.

1304 (G) PAGE as used for quantification in (F and 5G). Shown is also the RT- control (pooled1305 samples for each genetic background), which shows no amplification.

1306 Statistical analysis for RT-PCR: one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's for multiple comparison (two-

1307 sided, with control as reference) was performed with R and package multcomp (v.1.4-20); \* p <

1308 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, and \*\*\* p < 0.001; all with n = 3.

1309

## 1310 Figure S5. SUGP1 mutant splicing analysis

1311 (A) Expanded view of Figure 5C focusing on SUGP1 mutants.

1312 (B) Quantification of differential splicing in eHAP FNLS control or mutant cell lines by RT-PCR 1313 corresponding to observations from RNA-seg as shown in Figure 5 and S5D. Shown is the 1314 change in cassette exon splicing upon treatment with PB vs. DMSO. Top: PB induces 1315 increased exon skipping for RBM5 exon 16 to the same degree in control as well as the 1316 SUGP1 mutant cell lines. Bottom: PB induces increased exon skipping for ORC6 exon 5. 1317 SUGP1 mutation modulates this phenotype, with the G603N mutant cell lines showing a 1318 significant decrease in exon 5 skipping compared to the control cell lines. Statistical 1319 significance is only shown for mutant samples vs. control (= reference).

1320 (C) Representative PAGE as used for quantification in (B).

1321 (D) Cartoon representation of SUGP1 and its domain and motif organisation.  $\alpha$ -helices 1322 identified with AlphaFold2 and in proximity to the G-patch motif are shown in orange ( $\alpha$ H4-5, 1323  $\alpha$ H6, and  $\alpha$ H7). Several cancer mutations locate to these  $\alpha$ -helices or their vicinity (grey arrows 1324 and residue numbers).

1325 (E) Western blot for overexpression assays using HA-SUGP1 or HA-SUGP1 mutants as shown 1326 in (G-H). 1  $\mu$ g plasmid was transfected into HEK293T cells and RNA or protein samples were 1327 extracted 48 h later. Membrane had to be cropped between samples L570E and  $\Delta\alpha$ H4-5 (non-1328 discussed sample).

1329 (G) RT-PCR and quantification for alternative 3' splice site usage in *ENOSF1* exon 11 upon 1330 SUGP1 wt or mutant protein overexpression (48 h) in HEK293T cells. Overexpression of 1331 SUGP1 wt does not influence splicing outcome.  $\alpha$ H6 shows an equally strong phenotype as G-1332 patch point mutants. A representative example of the PAGE used for quantification is shown.

1333 (H) RT-PCR and quantification for alternative 3' splice site usage in ENOSF1 exon 11 upon

1334 SUGP1 wt or mutant protein overexpression (48 h) in HEK293T cells. Overexpression of

1335 SUGP1 wt does not influence splicing outcome. All mutants affect splicing 3' splice site choice,

1336 with  $\alpha$ H4-5 and  $\alpha$ H6 showing a similar phenotype as G603N. A representative example of the

1337 PAGE used for quantification is shown.

1338 *Statistical analysis for RT-PCR*: one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's (*ENOSF1, TMEM14C* – with 1339 control as reference) or Tukey's (*RBM5, ORC6*) for multiple comparison (two-sided) was 1340 performed with R and package multcomp (v.1.4-20); \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, and \*\*\* p < 0.001; 1341 all with n = 3.

1342

#### 1343 Figure S6. Proximity labeling experiments

1344 (A) Schematic for BioID experiments.

(B) Optimization of labeling using SUGP1-miniTurboID overexpression in HEK293T cells.
Different time points and biotin concentrations were compared to obtain strong labeling within a
short time frame. When no SUGP1-miniTurboID was transfected only background levels of
endogenously biotinylated proteins are observed.

1349 (C) miniTurbo proximity labeling using SUGP1-miniTurboID L570E vs. wt overexpressed in 1350 HEK293T cells. Biotinylated proteins were identified with TMT-MS after enrichment with 1351 streptavidin beads.  $-\log_{10}(p-value)$  is plotted against the  $\log_2$ -fold change (LFC) in a volcano 1352 plot (dashed lines: cutoffs at p < 0.05 and LFC > 0.5). All depleted or enriched proteins are 1353 labelled and spliceosome associated factors are underlined. SNRNP48 (associated with the

- 1354 minor spliceosome) and DHX15 are the only spliceosomal proteins that show a significant
- 1355 change, with both depleted. (n = 4)
- 1356 (D) Domain organization and schematic representation of the MBP-*hs*SUGP1 variants with the
- 1357 introduced mutations colored in red for construct  $\alpha$ H6- $\alpha$ H7 (522-633).
- 1358 (E) Coomassie-stained gels of protein binding assays using purified MBP-hsSUGP1 construct
- 1359 and His<sub>10</sub>-*hs*DHX15ΔN. MBP-SUGP1 αH6-αH7 with either wildtype (wt) protein sequence or
- 1360 carrying the indicated mutation were used as baits and His<sub>6</sub>-MBP served as a control. Input
- 1361 (1.5% of total) and eluates (24% of total) were loaded.
- 1362 (F) Fluorescence polarization of FAM-labeled  $U_{12}$  RNA with His<sub>10</sub>-*hs*DHX15 $\Delta$ N in the absence
- 1363 or presence of MBP-SUGP1  $\alpha$ H6- $\alpha$ H7 wt or mutants. The dashed line indicates 50%
- 1364 normalized polarization. Error bars represent standard deviations from the average values of
- 1365 triplicate measurements. RNA dissociation constants (Kd) with standard error of means (SEM)
- 1366 were derived from fitting the respective data by linear regression.
- 1367

# 1368 **REFERENCES**

- Alsafadi, S., Dayot, S., Tarin, M., Houy, A., Bellanger, D., Cornella, M., Wassef, M., Waterfall,
  J.J., Lehnert, E., Roman-Roman, S., *et al.* (2021). Genetic alterations of SUGP1 mimic mutantSF3B1 splice pattern in lung adenocarcinoma and other cancers. Oncogene *40*, 85-96.
- 1373 Anzalone, A.V., Koblan, L.W., and Liu, D.R. (2020). Genome editing with CRISPR-Cas 1374 nucleases, base editors, transposases and prime editors. Nat Biotechnol *38*, 824-844.
- 1375 Beigl, T.B., Kjosås, I., Seljeseth, E., Glomnes, N., and Aksnes, H. (2020). Efficient and crucial 1376 quality control of HAP1 cell ploidy status. Biology open *9*, bio057174.
- 1377 Bejar, R. (2016). Splicing Factor Mutations in Cancer. Advances in experimental medicine and biology *907*, 215-228.
- 1379 Blencowe, B.J. (2017). The Relationship between Alternative Splicing and Proteomic 1380 Complexity. Trends in biochemical sciences *42*, 407-408.
- Boettcher, M., Covarrubias, S., Biton, A., Blau, J., Wang, H., Zaitlen, N., and McManus, M.T.
  (2019). Tracing cellular heterogeneity in pooled genetic screens via multi-level barcoding. BMC
  Genomics *20*, 107.
- Branon, T.C., Bosch, J.A., Sanchez, A.D., Udeshi, N.D., Svinkina, T., Carr, S.A., Feldman, J.L.,
  Perrimon, N., and Ting, A.Y. (2018). Efficient proximity labeling in living cells and organisms
  with TurbolD. Nat Biotechnol *36*, 880-887.
- Brosi, R., Hauri, H.P., and Krämer, A. (1993). Separation of splicing factor SF3 into two
  components and purification of SF3a activity. Journal of Biological Chemistry *268*, 1764017646.
- 1390 Burgess, S.M., and Guthrie, C. (1993). A mechanism to enhance mRNA splicing fidelity: the
- 1391 RNA-dependent ATPase Prp16 governs usage of a discard pathway for aberrant lariat 1392 intermediates. Cell *73*, 1377-1391.

- 1393 Clauser, K.R., Baker, P., and Burlingame, A.L. (1999). Role of accurate mass measurement 1394 (+/- 10 ppm) in protein identification strategies employing MS or MS/MS and database 1395 searching. Anal Chem *71*, 2871-2882.
- Cretu, C., Agrawal, A.A., Cook, A., Will, C.L., Fekkes, P., Smith, P.G., Luhrmann, R., Larsen,
  N., Buonamici, S., and Pena, V. (2018a). Structural Basis of Splicing Modulation by Antitumor
  Macrolide Compounds. Mol Cell *70*, 265-273 e268.
- 1399 Cretu, C., Agrawal, A.A., Cook, A., Will, C.L., Fekkes, P., Smith, P.G., Lührmann, R., Larsen,
  1400 N., Buonamici, S., and Pena, V. (2018b). Structural Basis of Splicing Modulation by Antitumor
  1401 Macrolide Compounds. Molecular Cell *70*, 265-273.e268.
- Cretu, C., Gee, P., Liu, X., Agrawal, A., Nguyen, T.V., Ghosh, A.K., Cook, A., Jurica, M.,
  Larsen, N.A., and Pena, V. (2021). Structural basis of intron selection by U2 snRNP in the
  presence of covalent inhibitors. Nat Commun *12*, 4491.
- Cuella-Martin, R., Hayward, S.B., Fan, X., Chen, X., Huang, J.W., Taglialatela, A., Leuzzi, G.,
  Zhao, J., Rabadan, R., Lu, C., *et al.* (2021). Functional interrogation of DNA damage response
  variants with base editing screens. Cell *184*, 1081-1097 e1019.
- Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson,
  M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics *29*,
  15-21.
- Doench, J.G., Fusi, N., Sullender, M., Hegde, M., Vaimberg, E.W., Donovan, K.F., Smith, I.,
  Tothova, Z., Wilen, C., Orchard, R., *et al.* (2016). Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity
  and minimize off-target effects of CRISPR-Cas9. Nat Biotechnol *34*, 184-191.
- 1414 Essletzbichler, P., Konopka, T., Santoro, F., Chen, D., Gapp, B.V., Kralovics, R.,
  1415 Brummelkamp, T.R., Nijman, S.M., and Burckstummer, T. (2014). Megabase-scale deletion
  1416 using CRISPR/Cas9 to generate a fully haploid human cell line. Genome Res *24*, 2059-2065.
- Fica, S.M., Oubridge, C., Wilkinson, M.E., Newman, A.J., and Nagai, K. (2019). A human postcatalytic spliceosome structure reveals essential roles of metazoan factors for exon ligation. Science *363*, 710-714.
- Gamboa Lopez, A., Allu, S.R., Mendez, P., Chandrashekar Reddy, G., Maul-Newby, H.M.,
  Ghosh, A.K., and Jurica, M.S. (2021). Herboxidiene Features That Mediate ConformationDependent SF3B1 Interactions to Inhibit Splicing. ACS Chem Biol *16*, 520-528.
- 1423 Gohr, A., and Irimia, M. (2019). Matt: Unix tools for alternative splicing analysis. Bioinformatics 1424 *35*, 130-132.
- Guan, W., Orellana, K.G., Stephens, R.F., Zhorov, B.S., and Spafford, J.D. (2022). A lysine
  residue from an extracellular turret switches the ion preference in a Cav3 T-Type channel from
  calcium to sodium ions. J Biol Chem, 102621.
- Haffke, M., Marek, M., Pelosse, M., Diebold, M.L., Schlattner, U., Berger, I., and Romier, C.
  (2015). Characterization and production of protein complexes by co-expression in Escherichia
  coli. Methods Mol Biol *1261*, 63-89.
- Hanna, R.E., Hegde, M., Fagre, C.R., DeWeirdt, P.C., Sangree, A.K., Szegletes, Z., Griffith, A.,
  Feeley, M.N., Sanson, K.R., Baidi, Y., *et al.* (2021). Massively parallel assessment of human
- 1433 variants with base editor screens. Cell *184*, 1064-1080 e1020.
- Haselbach, D., Komarov, I., Agafonov, D.E., Hartmuth, K., Graf, B., Dybkov, O., Urlaub, H.,
  Kastner, B., Lührmann, R., and Stark, H. (2018). Structure and Conformational Dynamics of the
  Human Spliceosomal Bact Complex. Cell *172*, 454-464.e411.
- Hemsley, A., Arnheim, N., Toney, M.D., Cortopassi, G., and Galas, D.J. (1989). A simple
  method for site-directed mutagenesis using the polymerase chain reaction. Nucleic Acids Res *17*, 6545-6551.
- Irimia, M., and Roy, S.W. (2008). Evolutionary convergence on highly-conserved 3' intron
  structures in intron-poor eukaryotes and insights into the ancestral eukaryotic genome. PLoS
  Genet 4, e1000148.
- 1443 Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool,
- 1444 K., Bates, R., Zidek, A., Potapenko, A., *et al.* (2021). Highly accurate protein structure 1445 prediction with AlphaFold. Nature *596*, 583-589.
- 1446 Kent, W.J. (2002). BLAT---The BLAST-Like Alignment Tool. Genome Research 12, 656-664.
- 1447 Kluesner, M.G., Lahr, W.S., Lonetree, C.L., Smeester, B.A., Qiu, X., Slipek, N.J., Claudio
- 1448 Vazquez, P.N., Pitzen, S.P., Pomeroy, E.J., Vignes, M.J., et al. (2021). CRISPR-Cas9 cytidine

- 1449 and adenosine base editing of splice-sites mediates highly-efficient disruption of proteins in 1450 primary and immortalized cells. Nat Commun *12*, 2437.
- 1451 Kluesner, M.G., Nedveck, D.A., Lahr, W.S., Garbe, J.R., Abrahante, J.E., Webber, B.R., and 1452 Moriarity, B.S. (2018). EditR: A Method to Quantify Base Editing from Sanger Sequencing.
- 1453 CRISPR J *1*, 239-250.
- 1454 Koodathingal, P., Novak, T., Piccirilli, J.A., and Staley, J.P. (2010). The DEAH box ATPases
- 1455 Prp16 and Prp43 cooperate to proofread 5' splice site cleavage during pre-mRNA splicing. Mol 1456 Cell *39*, 385-395.
- 1457 Koodathingal, P., and Staley, J.P. (2013). Splicing fidelity: DEAD/H-box ATPases as molecular 1458 clocks. RNA Biol *10*, 1073-1079.
- 1459 Labun, K., Montague, T.G., Krause, M., Torres Cleuren, Y.N., Tjeldnes, H., and Valen, E.
- (2019). CHOPCHOP v3: expanding the CRISPR web toolbox beyond genome editing. Nucleic
  Acids Res 47, W171-W174.
- Le Hir, H., Sauliere, J., and Wang, Z. (2016). The exon junction complex as a node of posttranscriptional networks. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *17*, 41-54.
- Li, Y.I., van de Geijn, B., Raj, A., Knowles, D.A., Petti, A.A., Golan, D., Gilad, Y., and Pritchard,
  J.K. (2016). RNA splicing is a primary link between genetic variation and disease. Science *352*,
  600-604.
- Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K., and Shi, W. (2019). The R package Rsubread is easier, faster, cheaper
  and better for alignment and quantification of RNA sequencing reads. Nucleic acids research
  47, e47-e47.
- Liu, Z., Zhang, J., Sun, Y., Perea-Chamblee, T.E., Manley, J.L., and Rabadan, R. (2020). Pancancer analysis identifies mutations in SUGP1 that recapitulate mutant SF3B1 splicing dysregulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *117*, 10305-10312.
- 1473 Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol *15*, 550.
- 1475 Martin, A., Schneider, S., and Schwer, B. (2002). Prp43 is an essential RNA-dependent 1476 ATPase required for release of lariat-intron from the spliceosome. J Biol Chem *277*, 17743-1477 17750.
- Maul-Newby, H.M., Amorello, A.N., Sharma, T., Kim, J.H., Modena, M.S., Prichard, B.E., and
  Jurica, M.S. (2022). A model for DHX15 mediated disassembly of A-complex spliceosomes.
  RNA (New York, NY) *28*, 583-595.
- 1481 Mayas, R.M., Maita, H., Semlow, D.R., and Staley, J.P. (2010). Spliceosome discards 1482 intermediates via the DEAH box ATPase Prp43p. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *107*, 10020-10025.
- Mayas, R.M., Maita, H., and Staley, J.P. (2006). Exon ligation is proofread by the DExD/H-box
  ATPase Prp22p. Nat Struct Mol Biol *13*, 482-490.
- McLaren, W., Gil, L., Hunt, S.E., Riat, H.S., Ritchie, G.R., Thormann, A., Flicek, P., and Cunningham, F. (2016). The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. Genome Biol *17*, 122.
- Mehmood, A., Laiho, A., Venalainen, M.S., McGlinchey, A.J., Wang, N., and Elo, L.L. (2020).
  Systematic evaluation of differential splicing tools for RNA-seq studies. Brief Bioinform *21*, 2052-2065.
- Nameki, N., Takizawa, M., Suzuki, T., Tani, S., Kobayashi, N., Sakamoto, T., Muto, Y., and
  Kuwasako, K. (2022). Structural basis for the interaction between the first SURP domain of the
  SF3A1 subunit in U2 snRNP and the human splicing factor SF1. Protein Sci *31*, e4437.
- Perez-Riverol, Y., Bai, J., Bandla, C., Garcia-Seisdedos, D., Hewapathirana, S.,
  Kamatchinathan, S., Kundu, D.J., Prakash, A., Frericks-Zipper, A., Eisenacher, M., *et al.*(2022). The PRIDE database resources in 2022: a hub for mass spectrometry-based
  proteomics evidences. Nucleic Acids Res *50*, D543-D552.
- Pinello, L., Canver, M.C., Hoban, M.D., Orkin, S.H., Kohn, D.B., Bauer, D.E., and Yuan, G.C.
  (2016). Analyzing CRISPR genome-editing experiments with CRISPResso. Nat Biotechnol *34*,
  695-697.
- 1500 Rossi, A.M., and Taylor, C.W. (2011). Analysis of protein-ligand interactions by fluorescence 1501 polarization. Nat Protoc *6*, 365-387.
- 1502 Sales-Lee, J., Perry, D.S., Bowser, B.A., Diedrich, J.K., Rao, B., Beusch, I., Yates, J.R., 3rd,
- 1503 Roy, S.W., and Madhani, H.D. (2021). Coupling of spliceosome complexity to intron diversity.
- 1504 Curr Biol *31*, 4898-4910 e4894.

- 1505 Sánchez-Rivera, F.J., Diaz, B.J., Kastenhuber, E.R., Schmidt, H., Katti, A., Kennedy, M., Tem,
- 1506 V., Ho, Y.-J., Leibold, J., Paffenholz, S.V., *et al.* (2022). Base editing sensor libraries for high1507 throughput engineering and functional analysis of cancer-associated single nucleotide variants.
  1508 Nature biotechnology *40*, 862-873.
- 1509 Semlow, D.R., and Staley, J.P. (2012). Staying on message: ensuring fidelity in pre-mRNA 1510 splicing. Trends in biochemical sciences *37*, 263-273.
- Shen, S., Park, J.W., Lu, Z.-X., Lin, L., Henry, M.D., Wu, Y.N., Zhou, Q., and Xing, Y. (2014a).
  rMATS: robust and flexible detection of differential alternative splicing from replicate RNA-Seq
  data. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *111*, E5593-5601.
- Shen, S., Park, J.W., Lu, Z.X., Lin, L., Henry, M.D., Wu, Y.N., Zhou, Q., and Xing, Y. (2014b).
  rMATS: robust and flexible detection of differential alternative splicing from replicate RNA-Seq
  data. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *111*, E5593-5601.
- 1517 Studer, M.K., Ivanovic, L., Weber, M.E., Marti, S., and Jonas, S. (2020a). Structural basis for 1518 DEAH-helicase activation by G-patch proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *117*, 7159-7170.
- 1519 Studer, M.K., Ivanović, L., Weber, M.E., Marti, S., and Jonas, S. (2020b). Structural basis for 1520 DEAH-helicase activation by G-patch proteins. Proceedings of the National Academy of 1521 Sciences of the United States of America *117*, 7159-7170.
- 1522 Tanaka, N., Aronova, A., and Schwer, B. (2007). Ntr1 activates the Prp43 helicase to trigger 1523 release of lariat-intron from the spliceosome. Genes Dev *21*, 2312-2325.
- Teng, T., Tsai, J.H., Puyang, X., Seiler, M., Peng, S., Prajapati, S., Aird, D., Buonamici, S.,
  Caleb, B., Chan, B., *et al.* (2017a). Splicing modulators act at the branch point adenosine
  binding pocket defined by the PHF5A-SF3b complex. Nat Commun *8*, 15522.
- 1527 Teng, T., Tsai, J.H., Puyang, X., Seiler, M., Peng, S., Prajapati, S., Aird, D., Buonamici, S., 1528 Caleb, B., Chan, B., *et al.* (2017b). Splicing modulators act at the branch point adenosine
- binding pocket defined by the PHF5A-SF3b complex. Nature communications *8*, 15522-15522.
  Tholen, J., Razew, M., Weis, F., and Galej, W.P. (2022). Structural basis of branch site
  recognition by the human spliceosome. Science *375*, 50-57.
- Tsai, R.-T., Fu, R.-H., Yeh, F.-L., Tseng, C.-K., Lin, Y.-C., Huang, Y.-H., and Cheng, S.-C. (2005). Spliceosome disassembly catalyzed by Prp43 and its associated components Ntr1 and Ntr2. Genes Dev *19*, 2991-3003.
- 1535 Wahl, M.C., Will, C.L., and Luhrmann, R. (2009a). The spliceosome: design principles of a dynamic RNP machine. Cell *136*, 701-718.
- 1537 Wahl, M.C., Will, C.L., and Lührmann, R. (2009b). The spliceosome: design principles of a dynamic RNP machine. Cell *136*, 701-718.
- Wang, L., Wang, S., and Li, W. (2012). RSeQC: quality control of RNA-seq experiments.
  Bioinformatics *28*, 2184-2185.
- 1541 Warkocki, Z., Schneider, C., Mozaffari-Jovin, S., Schmitzova, J., Hobartner, C., Fabrizio, P.,
- and Luhrmann, R. (2015). The G-patch protein Spp2 couples the spliceosome-stimulated
  ATPase activity of the DEAH-box protein Prp2 to catalytic activation of the spliceosome. Genes
  Dev *29*, 94-107.
- 1545 Wilkinson, M.E., Charenton, C., and Nagai, K. (2020). RNA Splicing by the Spliceosome. Annu 1546 Rev Biochem *89*, 359-388.
- Wu, G., Fan, L., Edmonson, M.N., Shaw, T., Boggs, K., Easton, J., Rusch, M.C., Webb, T.R.,
  Zhang, J., and Potter, P.M. (2018). Inhibition of SF3B1 by molecules targeting the spliceosome
  results in massive aberrant exon skipping. RNA *24*, 1056-1066.
- Yokoi, A., Kotake, Y., Takahashi, K., Kadowaki, T., Matsumoto, Y., Minoshima, Y., Sugi, N.H.,
  Sagane, K., Hamaguchi, M., Iwata, M., *et al.* (2011). Biological validation that SF3b is a target
  of the antitumor macrolide pladienolide. FEBS Journal *278*, 4870-4880.
- 1553 Yoshimi, A., Lin, K.T., Wiseman, D.H., Rahman, M.A., Pastore, A., Wang, B., Lee, S.C., Micol,
- 1553 J.B., Zhang, X.J., de Botton, S., *et al.* (2019). Coordinated alterations in RNA splicing and 1555 epigenetic regulation drive leukaemogenesis. Nature *574*, 273-277.
- Zafra, M.P., Schatoff, E.M., Katti, A., Foronda, M., Breinig, M., Schweitzer, A.Y., Simon, A.,
  Han, T., Goswami, S., Montgomery, E., *et al.* (2018a). Optimized base editors enable efficient
  editing in cells, organoids and mice. Nat Biotechnol *36*, 888-893.
- Zafra, M.P., Schatoff, E.M., Katti, A., Foronda, M., Breinig, M., Schweitzer, A.Y., Simon, A.,
  Han, T., Goswami, S., Montgomery, E., *et al.* (2018b). Optimized base editors enable efficient
  editing in cells, organoids and mice. Nature biotechnology *36*, 888-893.

- Zhang, J., Ali, A.M., Lieu, Y.K., Liu, Z., Gao, J., Rabadan, R., Raza, A., Mukherjee, S., and
  Manley, J.L. (2019). Disease-Causing Mutations in SF3B1 Alter Splicing by Disrupting
  Interaction with SUGP1. Mol Cell *76*, 82-95 e87.
- 1565 Zhang, X., Yan, C., Zhan, X., Li, L., Lei, J., and Shi, Y. (2018). Structure of the human activated
- 1566 spliceosome in three conformational states. Cell Res *28*, 307-322.
- Zhang, Z., Rigo, N., Dybkov, O., Fourmann, J.-B., Will, C.L., Kumar, V., Urlaub, H., Stark, H.,
  and Lührmann, R. (2021). Structural insights into how Prp5 proofreads the pre-mRNA branch
  site. Nature *596*, 296-300.
- 1570 Zhang, Z., Will, C.L., Bertram, K., Dybkov, O., Hartmuth, K., Agafonov, D.E., Hofele, R., Urlaub,
- 1571 H., Kastner, B., Luhrmann, R., *et al.* (2020a). Molecular architecture of the human 17S U2 1572 snRNP. Nature *583*, 310-313.
- 1573 Zhang, Z., Will, C.L., Bertram, K., Dybkov, O., Hartmuth, K., Agafonov, D.E., Hofele, R., Urlaub,
- 1574 H., Kastner, B., Lührmann, R., et al. (2020b). Molecular architecture of the human 17S U2
- 1575 snRNP. Nature *583*, 310-313.
- 1576











sgRNA sequencing

- data analysis



log, [normalised sgRNA read counts across all samples]





Figure 3









G-patch domain







#### Figure 7

